

Intelligent Systems

LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

**NOTICE OF MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT
FOR THE 2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

2015 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

Dear Fellow Shareholders,

Annually, when I write this Letter to Shareholders, I take a look at last year's letter to see what my review said about the prior year as well as what I said about the current year. Since the annual letter does not reach our shareholders until almost the middle of the year, I feel the current year discussion is as relevant - or more so - than reviewing the past year. In 2015, overall I believe we accomplished what we discussed and have established good forward momentum for 2016.

The sale of our ChemFree subsidiary in March 2015 for \$21+ million was a positive transaction for everyone involved, allowing us to realize the value that we had built over a number of years. The buyer, CRC Industries, has proven to be a good strategic fit for ChemFree's bioremediating SmartWasher® business while the transition for our former employees and operations was seamless and very cooperative. We are delighted that CRC is able to distribute the SmartWasher® more effectively than ChemFree could ever have done when owned by Intelligent Systems, as a result of CRC's established global presence. In addition to cash of just over \$19 million which we received from the sale in 2015, the remaining \$2.2 million cash will be released when the escrow period ends on September 30, 2016; we are not aware of any potential claims or withholdings at this time.

We returned part of the ChemFree sale proceeds to Intelligent Systems' shareholders as we had indicated was likely. First, we completed a modified Dutch Auction tender offer in which we bought all tendered shares at \$3.00 per share, reducing the number of shares of our outstanding common stock. It was both gratifying and disappointing that so few shareholders accepted the \$3.00 tender offer. Although management certainly felt that the stock was worth more and did not participate, given the trading patterns at the time and the stock price history, we felt that was the right price to offer. Second, we paid a special cash dividend of \$0.35 per share on February 8, 2016 to shareholders of record on January 29, 2016.

With the sale behind us, we are now positioned solely in the industry known as FinTech, at the intersection of technology and financial services. The CoreCard operations, with almost 250 employees at the U.S., Romania and India companies, are now our main business and the focus of management time and resources. Over the past ten years, we have steadily developed an experienced team of technical professionals, with deep understanding of the financial payments space and CoreCard's robust technology platform and software. With approximately 215 technical employees in our own building in Bhopal, India and a smaller team in Timisoara, Romania, we have built a valuable asset that allows us to be a lower cost provider of quality software and processing services. Many of our key technical and management employees have been with us over ten years; indeed over 45% of our offshore employees – software developers, business analysts, quality assurance and operations support - have been with us more than five years, unusually long tenure in this market. Our Atlanta senior management team also averages over ten years with us. We believe the investment in developing our technical team will continue to pay dividends over the long term.

In 2015, we continued to add both license and processing customers, growing total revenue by 14% compared to 2014. We expect to continue this expansion in 2016, being mindful that our technology solution, whether licensed by our customers or running in our own processing center, is a critical system of record for our customers that is not easily replicated or transferred. Our software platform is robust and flexible, capable of managing a broad spectrum of financial “accounts”, whether it is used for prepaid cards, consumer loans, credit cards, fleet cards, business-to-business credit or loyalty programs, and can be configured to meet each customer’s business requirements.

While we continue to invest in the infrastructure and people to scale our business and to support more rapid growth, we remain open to strategic opportunities or transactions that would benefit all our shareholders and that reflect the value we believe we have created in our FinTech business.


President and Chief Executive Officer

Intelligent Systems

4355 Shackleford Road
Norcross, Georgia 30093

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

YOU ARE INVITED TO attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Intelligent Systems Corporation on Thursday, May 26, 2016 at 4:00 p.m., local time, at our principal executive offices located at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia 30093. At the Annual Meeting, shareholders will consider and vote on:

1. The election of one director to the Board of Directors to serve until the 2019 Annual Meeting;
2. Approval, by a non-binding, advisory vote, of the compensation of our named executive officers; and
3. Other matters that may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2016 will receive notice of and be entitled to vote at the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

A Proxy Statement and a proxy solicited by the Board of Directors are enclosed with this mailing. **To ensure a quorum for the meeting and that your vote may be recorded, please sign, date and return the proxy promptly in the enclosed business reply envelope. If you attend the meeting, you may revoke your proxy and vote in person.** Our 2015 Annual Report to Shareholders is enclosed in the same document as the Proxy Statement.

By order of the Board of Directors,



BONNIE L. HERRON
Secretary

April 8, 2016

Please complete and return the enclosed proxy promptly so that your vote may be recorded.

**PROXY STATEMENT
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD MAY 26, 2016**

We are sending this Proxy Statement to the shareholders of Intelligent Systems Corporation (the “company”) in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors to be voted at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of Intelligent Systems Corporation and any adjournment thereof. The Annual Meeting will be held on May 26, 2016 at our principal executive offices located at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia 30093 at 4:00 p.m. local time. We expect to first mail this Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy to shareholders on or about April 8, 2016.

VOTING

General

The securities that can be voted at the Annual Meeting consist of common stock of Intelligent Systems Corporation, \$.01 par value per share. Each share entitles its owner to one vote on each matter submitted to the shareholders. There are no cumulative voting rights. The record of shareholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting was taken as of the close of business on April 1, 2016. On that date, we had outstanding and entitled to vote 8,731,299 shares of common stock with each share entitled to one vote.

Quorum

A majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock must be present, in person or by proxy, to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. We will treat shares subject to abstentions or broker non-votes as present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes occur when a bank, broker, or other nominee of shares held in street name is not permitted to vote without instructions from the shareholder and such instructions have not been given.

Proxies

At the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote all properly executed proxy cards delivered in connection with this solicitation and not revoked in accordance with the directions given. Shareholders should specify their choices with regard to each proposal to be voted upon on the accompanying proxy card. **If no specific instructions are given with regard to a proposal to be voted upon, then the shares represented by a signed proxy card will be voted “FOR” such proposal.** If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote upon such matters according to their judgment.

Some of our shareholders hold their shares through a broker, bank, custodian or other nominee, rather than directly in their own name. This is commonly referred to as holding shares in “street name.” If you hold shares in street name, these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank, custodian or other nominee, which is considered, with respect to such shares, to be the shareholder of record. As the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you have the right to direct the nominee how such shares should be voted. You also have the right to attend the Annual Meeting. However, since you are not the shareholder of record, you must first obtain a signed proxy from the shareholder of record giving you the right to vote the shares at the Annual Meeting. Your broker, bank, custodian or other nominee has enclosed or provided you a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the nominee how to vote your shares or obtain a proxy from the nominee.

You may revoke your proxy card in connection with this solicitation at any time prior to voting at the Annual Meeting by:

- giving written notice to the Secretary of the company at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia 30093, for shareholders of record, or
- executing and delivering to the Secretary a later dated proxy, or
- voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

You cannot revoke your proxy or voting instructions as to any matter upon which, prior to such revocation, a vote has been cast in accordance with the authority conferred by such proxy or voting instructions.

We will pay all expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation of proxies. Such costs include charges by brokers, fiduciaries and custodians for forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of stock held in their names. We may solicit proxies by mail, telephone and personal contact by directors, officers, and employees of the company without additional compensation.

Dissenters' Rights of Appraisal

There are no dissenters' rights of appraisal with respect to the matters being acted upon at the Annual Meeting.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table contains information concerning the persons who are known to us to be beneficial owners of more than 5 percent of our common stock as of March 1, 2016, and the ownership of our common stock as of that date by each director, each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table and by all directors and named executive officers as a group. There are no arrangements known to us which may result in change of control of the company.

Beneficial Owner	Address	Shares Beneficially Owned ^{a, f}	Percent of Class ^a
J. Leland Strange ^b <i>Chairman of the Board, President, CEO</i>	4355 Shackelford Road Norcross, GA 30093	2,065,300	23.5%
Wallace R. Weitz & Company ^c	1125 South 103rd St. Suite 200 Omaha, NE 68124	2,270,000	26.0%
Clifford N. Burnstein ^d	729 7 th Avenue New York, NY 10019	835,445	9.6%
James C. Kieffer ^e	Five Councourse Pkwy Suite 2200 Atlanta, GA 30328	743,720	8.5%
Cherie M. Fuzzell, <i>Director</i>		11,000	*
Philip H. Moise, <i>Director</i>		8,000	*
Parker H. Petit, <i>Director</i>		77,315	*
Bonnie L. Herron <i>Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary</i>		151,825	1.7%
All Directors and Named Executive Officers as a Group (5 persons)		2,313,440	26.0%

- Except as otherwise noted, beneficial ownership is determined on the basis of 8,731,299 shares of common stock issued and outstanding plus securities deemed outstanding pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), a person is deemed to beneficially own shares of the company's common stock if that person has or shares "voting power", which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of a security, or "investment power", which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of a security. An asterisk indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1 percent.
- Includes 293,906 shares owned by Jane H. Strange, Mr. Strange's wife. Mr. Strange disclaims any beneficial interest in the shares.
- Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G filed on January 13, 2016, in which Wallace R. Weitz and Company, an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, reported beneficial ownership of 2,270,000 shares of common stock, of which Wallace R. Weitz and Company has the sole power to vote and to dispose.
- Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13D filed on August 3, 2009, in which Clifford N. Burnstein, an individual, reported beneficial ownership of 835,445 shares of common stock, of which Clifford N. Burnstein has the sole power to vote and to dispose.
- Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G filed on February 2, 2016, in which James C. Kieffer, an individual, reported beneficial ownership of 743,720 shares of common stock, of which James C. Kieffer has the sole power to vote and to dispose.
- Includes 175,500 shares reserved for issuance to officers and directors pursuant to stock options that were exercisable at March 1, 2016 or within sixty days of such date which are deemed beneficially owned by such person pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) of the Exchange Act. The amounts reported above for Mr. Petit include 30,000 shares for shares underlying stock options exercisable at March 1, 2016 or within sixty days of such date. The amounts reported above for Mr. Strange and Ms. Herron include 67,500 and 60,000 shares, respectively, for shares underlying stock options exercisable at March 1, 2016 or within sixty days of such date. The amount reported for Ms. Fuzzell includes 11,000 shares for shares underlying stock options exercisable at March 1, 2016 or within sixty days of such date and the amount reported for Mr. Moise includes 7,000 shares for shares underlying stock options exercisable at March 1, 2016, or within sixty days of such date.

PROPOSAL 1 – THE ELECTION OF ONE DIRECTOR

Nominee

At the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, shareholders will elect one director to the Board to serve a three-year term until the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The other directors' terms expire at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders listed in the following table for each category of directors, or upon their earlier death, resignation or removal from office. Directors are elected by a plurality of the shares present and voting at the meeting. A "plurality" means that the individuals who receive the largest number of votes cast are elected as directors up to the maximum number of directors to be chosen at the meeting. Therefore, shares that are withheld or abstain from voting and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote. Unless contrary instructions are given, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented by a signed proxy card "FOR" the nominee.

If the nominee withdraws for any reason or is not able to continue to serve as a director, the proxy will be voted for another person designated by the Board as substitute nominee, but in no event will the proxy be voted for more than one nominee. The Board has no reason to believe that the nominee will not serve if elected.

The Board has nominated the person named in the following table to serve as a director of the company. The nominee and other directors gave us the following information concerning their current age, other directorships, positions with the company, principal employment and shares of our common stock beneficially owned as of March 1, 2016.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL 1 TO ELECT ONE NOMINEE TO SERVE AS DIRECTOR OF THE COMPANY.

Name	Age	Position / Principal Occupation
<i>Nominee for election to serve until the 2019 Annual Meeting</i>		
Philip H. Moise ^{1 & 2}	66	Nominee, Retired Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Immucor, Inc.
<i>Incumbent director elected to serve until the 2018 Annual Meeting</i>		
J. Leland Strange	74	Director, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
<i>Incumbent directors elected to serve until the 2017 Annual Meeting</i>		
Cherie M. Fuzzell ^{1 & 2}	52	Director, Senior Director, Global Alliances for Apple, Inc.
Parker H. Petit ^{1 & 2}	76	Director, CEO of MiMedx Group and President of The Petit Group

1. Audit Committee

2. Compensation Committee

Cherie M. Fuzzell has served as a director since 2012. Ms. Fuzzell is Senior Director, Global Alliances for Apple, Inc., a global provider of personal technology devices. She served as Chief Executive Officer of Parkmobile USA, Inc., a provider of mobile payment solutions to municipalities and parking providers around the world from 2013 to July 2015. From 2008 to October 2012, Ms. Fuzzell was President and Chief Executive Officer of FirstView, LLC, a vertically integrated processor and program manager providing turnkey prepaid debit card solutions for multiple industries and applications. Prior to 2008, she was an independent industry consultant and from 1999 to 2005 served as Chief Administrative Officer and General Counsel of Nova Information Systems, Inc., an international payments processing company (now operating as Elavon, part of U.S. Bancorp). Other experience includes serving on the board of directors and compensation committee of Otix Global, Inc. [formerly NASDAQ: OTX], a manufacturer of hearing aids, prior to its acquisition in December 2010. The Board considered Ms. Fuzzell's extensive experience in and knowledge of the financial payments industry; her operational and strategic insight; her board and executive service with large and publicly traded companies; her familiarity with the company's CoreCard subsidiary business, products and services; and her educational background in accounting and law in determining that she should serve as a director of the company. The Board has determined that Ms. Fuzzell qualifies as an independent director under the applicable rules of NYSE MKT.

Philip H. Moise was elected to the Board in 2013 and is being nominated for re-election to the Board for a three year term ending in 2019. Mr. Moise served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Immucor, Inc. from 2007 until 2012. Immucor manufactures and sells instruments and reagents used to classify components of human blood prior to blood therapies and

transfusions. Previously a publicly-held company, Immucor was acquired and taken private in 2011. Before joining Immucor, Mr. Moise was in the private practice of law for almost 30 years, where he represented public and private companies in the technology and life sciences industries. He represented Intelligent Systems for approximately 25 years before joining Immucor in 2007. The Board considered Mr. Moise's familiarity with the company's business and history; his business experience as an executive with a publicly traded company; his extensive legal background and experience in corporate transactions and corporate governance; and his familiarity with board and regulatory matters impacting publicly traded companies in determining that he should serve as a director of the company. The Board has determined that Mr. Moise qualifies as an independent director under the applicable rules of NYSE MKT.

Parker (Pete) H. Petit has served as a director since 1996. Mr. Petit is the Chairman, President and CEO of MiMedx Group, an integrated developer, manufacturer and marketer of bio-material based products. Mr. Petit is also the President of The Petit Group, a private investment company. Mr. Petit served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Matria Healthcare, Inc., a comprehensive disease management services company from 1996 to 2008. The Board considered Mr. Petit's extensive experience as a successful entrepreneur and as an executive and member of the board of directors of several publicly traded technology and healthcare companies, as well as his familiarity with the company since 1996 in determining that he should serve as a director of the company. The Board has determined that Mr. Petit is an independent director under the applicable rules of NYSE MKT.

Leland Strange has served as our President since 1983 and our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board since 1985. The Board considered Mr. Strange's many years of experience as the company's CEO, his familiarity with the industries and customers which our operating companies serve, and his past experience on several boards of directors and audit and compensation committees of other publicly traded companies in determining that he should serve as a director of the company.

There are no family relationships among any of the company's directors or executive officers.

There have been no events under any bankruptcy act, no criminal proceedings and no judgments or injunctions material to an evaluation of the ability and integrity of any director, executive officer or control person of the company during the past ten years. There are no proceedings to which any director, officer, affiliate, any owner of record or beneficially of more than 5 percent of our common stock, or any security holder of the company is an adverse party to the company or has a material interest adverse to the company.

Three of the directors and all of the members of the Audit Committee are independent, as such term is defined in the listing standards of the NYSE MKT and the rules of the SEC. The Audit Committee meets the composition requirements of NYSE MKT's listing standards for Small Business Issuers (as defined by the rules of NYSE MKT).

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

The Chief Executive Officer serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the company. Given the small size and limited geographic and industry scope of the company's operations, the company believes that the leadership structure of the board, consisting of four directors of which three are independent (assuming the re-election of the nominee), is appropriate. There is no lead independent director because there has been no need for such a role based on the continuity resulting from the tenure of the directors and the small size of the board. Given the character, size and limited scope of the company's operations and the stability and long tenure of its workforce and management team, there is limited exposure to external risks other than general business, product and market risks. The company has limited, if any, exposure related to financial instruments, environmental issues, off balance sheet entities and such external risks. The Audit Committee, which consists of the independent directors, provides risk oversight as part of the company's internal controls process and regularly reviews reports from management and external auditors on risk analysis and tests of the design and effectiveness of the company's internal controls. The Board considered and has determined that risks arising from its compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. This determination was based on the limited nature of the company's compensation program.

Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board met four times during the year ended December 31, 2015 and acted by unanimous consent on two occasions. The Board has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Plan Committee, but has no nominating committee. The Audit Committee of the Board met five times during 2015. During 2015, the Audit Committee consisted of Ms. Fuzzell (chair) and Messrs. Petit and Moise. In 2015, the Audit Committee appointed the company's new independent auditor, met with the former independent auditor to review its report on the 2014 audit and the 2015 quarterly reviews, and carried out a number of other responsibilities, as outlined in the Audit Committee Charter.

All members of the Audit Committee currently meet the applicable independence and qualifications standards of the NYSE MKT. The Board has determined that Mr. Petit is a financial expert as defined by the rules of the SEC, and is financially sophisticated as defined in the listing standards of NYSE MKT. The Board based this determination, in part, on Mr. Petit's experience in actively supervising senior financial and accounting personnel and in overseeing the preparation of financial statements as the chief executive officer and chairman of publicly-traded companies.

The Board has a Compensation Committee consisting of three independent directors, Messrs. Moise and Petit and Ms. Fuzzell. The Compensation Committee met twice in 2015. The Compensation Committee reviews, makes recommendations and approves the appropriate compensation level for the officers of the company and any changes in the company's various benefit plans covering executive officers or directors. The Compensation Committee does not have a charter. Neither the Compensation Committee nor management has engaged a compensation consultant to provide advice or recommendations on the form or amount of executive or director compensation. From time to time, the Compensation Committee has sought input from publicly available data compiled by executive officers of the company relating to compensation paid to executive officers and directors in similar size, publicly traded companies in the same geographic area as the company is located. The Compensation Committee has also solicited input from the CEO with respect to compensation of non-CEO executive officers.

The Plan Committee, which did not meet in 2015, is responsible for administering the 2011 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan and the 2015 Incentive Stock Plan. The Plan Committee has the same members as the Compensation Committee.

In 2015, each director attended all meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees of the Board on which he or she served.

The company does not currently have a standing nominating committee. Please see "Nominations Process" below for information regarding the company's policies and procedures for director nominations.

Executive Officers

The following information is provided about our non-director executive officer as of March 1, 2016.

Name	Age	Position / Principal Occupation
Bonnie L. Herron	68	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

Ms. Herron joined the company in 1982 as Director of Planning at one of our subsidiaries and subsequently at the corporate level. She was elected Corporate Secretary in 1987, Vice President in 1990, and Chief Financial Officer in 1999.

The Board of Directors elects the executive officers to serve until they are removed, replaced or resign.

Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary \$	Bonus \$	Option Awards \$	Other Annual Compensation \$	Total \$
J. Leland Strange President & Chief Executive Officer	2015	311,538	200,000	--	3,975	515,513
	2014	295,385	--	--	3,900	299,285
Francis A. Marks* Vice President	2015	83,830	150,000	--	--	233,830
	2014	82,717	--	--	--	82,717
Bonnie L. Herron Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & Secretary	2015	181,731	95,000	--	2,726	279,457
	2014	172,308	--	--	2,585	174,893

* Mr. Marks resigned as Vice President of the company upon the sale of the ChemFree subsidiary on March 31, 2015. He was paid a bonus and salary after the sale as part of the transition services.

The table above sets forth information regarding compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to the company's CEO and the company's two most highly compensated executive officers other than the CEO (the "Named Executive Officers"). The Compensation Committee endeavors to provide compensation arrangements that are reasonable given the company's size, the nature of its business and the executive's duties; align pay with creating shareholder value; minimize risky behavior; and reward the executive for his/her contribution to achieving our business goals. Given the nature of our business, the small number of executives and the significant ownership held by Mr. Strange and, to a lesser extent the other Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee believes that a straight-forward compensation plan that is economical to administer and that consists of a reasonable base salary and option grants, as well as an occasional bonus, is appropriate for the company. None of the Named Executive Officers has an employment agreement with the company and the company does not have any corporate non-equity incentive plans or nonqualified deferred compensation plans. From time to time, officers may be awarded bonuses to recognize achievement of corporate or subsidiary goals or other accomplishments. In 2015, officers received bonuses related to their contribution to the successful sale of our former ChemFree subsidiary. No options were granted to executives and no options were re-priced in the two year period ended December 31, 2015. All Other Annual Compensation shown above includes matching contributions by the company to the respective accounts of the executive officers pursuant to the terms of our Tax-Deferred Savings and Protection Plan (the "401(k) Plan"). Such amounts are fully vested. It is our policy to provide executives with the same benefits provided to other employees with respect to medical, dental, life insurance and 401(k) plans.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

Option Awards

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
	J. Leland Strange	22,500 ¹ 45,000 ²		
Bonnie L. Herron	32,500 ¹ 27,500 ²	0 0	\$1.72 \$1.52	03/01/2021 08/08/2021

1. Stock options were issued on March 1, 2011 and vested in one third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date.
2. Stock options were issued on August 8, 2011 and vested in one third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date.

The company does not have any Stock Award Plans and does not have any plans for executive officers that provide for the payment of retirement benefits.

Effective January 1, 1992, the company adopted the Change in Control Plan for Officers so that if control of the company changes in the future, management would be free to act on behalf of the company and its shareholders without undue concern for the possible loss of future compensation. A “change in control” means either: (i) the accumulation by an unrelated person of beneficial ownership of more than 25 percent of the company’s outstanding common stock, (ii) the sale of all or substantially all of the company’s assets to an unrelated person, in a merger or otherwise, or (iii) a change of control within the meaning of any rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Change of Control Plan was terminated by the Board in August 2015.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table gives information as of December 31, 2015 about the company’s common stock that may be issued under the Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan, the 2011 Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan, the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended in 2011 and the 2015 Stock Incentive Plan. All plans were approved by shareholders except the Non-Employees Directors’ Stock Option Plan.

Plan category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ¹	226,500	\$ 1.66	876,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ²	48,000	\$ 2.26	0
Total	274,500	\$ 1.76	876,000

1. Information pertains to the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, the 2011 Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan and the 2015 Stock Incentive Plan.
2. Information pertains to the Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan.

Director Compensation

The table below sets forth all compensation earned by non-executive directors in the year ended December 31, 2015. The company does not have a stock award plan or non-equity incentive plan for directors. The company has a Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan which expired in 2010. It was replaced by the 2011 Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan, approved by shareholders in 2011.

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or		Total
	Paid in Cash	Option Awards ¹	
	\$	\$	\$
Cherie M. Fuzzell	16,000	8,400	24,400
Philip H. Moise	16,000	8,400	24,400
James V. Napier (retired June 2015) ²	58,000	--	58,000
Parker H. Petit	16,000	8,400	24,400

1. Each current director was awarded 4,000 stock options at fair market value on the date of grant pursuant to the terms of the 2011 Non-Employee Directors’ Stock Option Plan. The amount reported is the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. At December 31, 2015, Mr. Petit has an aggregate of 36,000 stock options outstanding, of which 30,000 are fully vested and exercisable; Ms. Fuzzell has a total of 17,000 options, of which 11,000 are exercisable; and Mr. Moise has a total of 13,000 options, 7,000 of which are exercisable.
2. Mr. Napier was paid \$8,000 for board service until his retirement in June 2015, plus a \$50,000 payment earned under the Outside Directors’ Retirement Plan, prior to such plan’s termination in 2011.

All non-employee directors have the same compensation plan. Non-employee directors earn \$8,000 per year plus a fee of \$2,000 per meeting of the Board of Directors. There is no additional compensation for serving on a committee of the Board of Directors. Total

cash compensation for annual board service is capped at \$16,000 annually. Effective January 1, 1992, the company adopted the Outside Directors' Retirement Plan which provided for each non-employee director, upon resignation from the Board after reaching the age of 65, to receive a lump sum cash payment equal to \$5,000 for each full year of service as a director of the company (and its predecessors and successors) up to \$50,000. Effective March 1, 2011, the company terminated the Outside Directors' Retirement Plan and provided that the outside directors then serving would receive the retirement benefits to which they were entitled under the plan before it was terminated. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we have accrued \$59,000 and \$125,000 respectively for future payments under the Outside Directors' Retirement Plan. Effective August 22, 2000, the company adopted a Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan which provided for an initial grant to each director of 5,000 options to purchase common stock of the company and annual grants of 4,000 options on the date of each subsequent Annual Meeting. Options are granted at fair market value on the date of grant. The Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan has expired and was replaced by the 2011 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, the terms of which are identical in all material respects to the expired plan and which was approved by shareholders in 2011. In 2015, three directors received a grant of 4,000 options each on June 11, 2015, the date of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee Charter, which is reviewed annually, includes organization and membership requirements, a statement of policy and the Committee's authority and responsibilities.

Management is responsible for our company's internal controls and the financial reporting process. The independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and for issuing a report thereon. As outlined in more detail in the Audit Committee Charter, the Audit Committee's responsibility is generally to approve all services provided by and compensation paid to the independent auditors; review the adequacy of the company's internal and disclosure controls and risk management practices; review and monitor the annual audit of the financial statements including the financial statements produced and notes thereto; review SEC filings containing the company's financial statements; regularly meet with the independent auditors and management in separate sessions; and authorize investigations into any matter within the scope of their responsibilities. During fiscal year 2015 and through March 15, 2016, among its other activities, the Audit Committee:

- engaged the independent auditors and established their compensation;
- reviewed and discussed with management and the independent auditors the audited financial statements of the company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the years then ended;
- discussed with the independent auditors their reviews of the quarterly unaudited financial statements of the company for fiscal 2015;
- discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB standards (SAS No.'s 61, 89 and 90); and
- received from the independent auditors the written disclosures and written affirmation of their independence required by PCAOB Rule 3526 and discussed with the auditors the firm's independence.

Based upon the reviews and discussions summarized above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board (and the Board has approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE
Cherie M. Fuzzell (Chair)
Philip H. Moise
Parker H. Petit

Nominations Process

The Board has not appointed a standing nominating committee or adopted a formal nominating committee charter because the Board has determined that due to the size, make-up, independence, long tenure and low turnover of the current Board of Directors, there would be limited benefit to the company or its shareholders to do so. Currently, Cherie M. Fuzzell, Parker H. Petit and Philip

H. Moise, all of whom meet the applicable NYSE independence requirements, participate in the consideration of director nominees. The same individuals also nominate the officers of the company for election by the Board of Directors.

The Board has not previously formed a policy with respect to consideration of candidates nominated by shareholders since there have been no such nominations. However, it is the Board's intent to consider any security holder nominees that may be properly and timely put forth in the future. The Board has not identified any specific, minimum qualifications or skills that it believes must be met by a nominee for director. The company does not have a specific policy with respect to diversity in identifying nominees for director. In considering nominations for the 2016 Annual Meeting, the Board reviewed the appropriate size of the Board and the skills and characteristics of directors in the context of the current make-up, background and experience of the Board and the requirements and needs of the company in the foreseeable future. Security holders wishing to nominate a candidate for consideration at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2017 should submit the nominee's name, affiliation and other pertinent information along with a statement as to why such person should be considered for nomination. Such nominations should be addressed to the Board in care of the Secretary of the company and be received no later than 14 days before the date of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Board will evaluate any such nominees in a manner similar to that for all director nominees.

Communication Between Security Holders and the Board of Directors

Security holders wishing to communicate with members of the Board should send a letter to the Secretary of the company with instructions as to which director(s) is to receive the communication. The Secretary will forward the written communication to each member of the Board identified by the security holder or, if no individual director is identified, to all members of the Board of Directors. The company has not in the past required members of the Board to attend each Annual Meeting of Shareholders because the formal meetings have been attended by very few shareholders, and have generally been very brief and procedural in nature. One of the company's directors attended the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Board will continue to monitor shareholder interest and attendance at future meetings and reevaluate this policy as appropriate.

PROPOSAL 2 – TO APPROVE, BY A NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE, THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

We are asking our shareholders to provide advisory approval of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, as described in the Executive Compensation section of this Proxy Statement. While this vote is advisory and non-binding on the company, it will provide information to our Compensation Committee regarding investor sentiment about our executive compensation philosophies, policies and practices which the Compensation Committee will be able to consider for the remainder of 2016 and beyond. The compensation of our Named Executive Officers and our compensation philosophies and practices are described in the Executive Compensation discussion and accompanying tables.

The Compensation Committee endeavors to provide compensation arrangements that are reasonable given the company's size, the nature of its business and the executive's duties and that align pay with creating shareholder value, minimize risky behavior, and reward the executive for his/her contribution to achieving our business goals. Given the nature of our business, the small number and long-tenure of our executives, and the significant ownership held by Mr. Strange and, to a lesser extent the other Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee believes that a straight-forward compensation plan that is economical to administer and that consists of a reasonable base salary and option grants, as well as an occasional bonus, is appropriate for the company.

Neither the approval nor the disapproval of this proposal will be binding on us or the Board of Directors or will be construed as overruling decisions by us or the Board of Directors.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL 2 APPROVING THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

CODE OF ETHICS

The company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all directors, officers, and employees. The Code of Ethics is posted on our website at www.intelsys.com. The company discloses on its website, within the time required by the rules of the SEC, any waivers of, or amendments to, the Code of Ethics for the benefit of an executive officer.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission thereunder require our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock, as well as certain affiliates of these persons, to file initial reports of ownership of our common stock and changes in such ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Securities and Exchange Commission also requires executive officers, directors and persons owning more than ten percent of our common stock to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, we believe that, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, the executive officers, directors, and persons owning more than ten percent of our common stock and affiliates of these persons have complied with all applicable filing requirements in a timely manner.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Habif, Arogeti, & Wynne, LLP (“HAW”) acted as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 and performed the quarterly reviews in the nine month period ended September 30, 2015. On November 2, 2015, HAW informed the company that HAW declined to stand for re-election as the company’s independent accountant, HAW informed the company that the firm has determined not to do public company audits effective immediately as it is not in its best interest given the high cost of compliance and the fact that the number of HAW’s public audit clients has been declining in recent years. The company was its only remaining public audit client.

The reports of HAW regarding the company’s financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and 2014 did not contain any adverse opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014 and during the period from December 31, 2014 through November 2, 2015, the date of notice to the company, there were no disagreements with HAW on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of HAW, would have caused it to make reference to such disagreements in its reports. During fiscal years 2013 and 2014 and through November 1, 2015, there were no “reportable events” as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K.

Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC (“Nichols Cauley”) was appointed as our independent public accounting firm on December 3, 2015, and performed the audit for the year ended December 31, 2015. We expect that representatives of Nichols Cauley will be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions. The Audit Committee has not yet selected auditors for the audit for the fiscal year 2016 because historically this decision is made in the second half of the year. Nichols Cauley had not billed, and the company had not paid, for any services as of December 31, 2015. The following is a summary of fees and expenses billed to the company by HAW for services during 2015 and 2014:

Audit Fees - We were billed aggregate fees of \$141,000 and \$138,000 for review and audit services by HAW in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees - These fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our Financial Statements and are not reported under “Audit Fees”. We were billed \$10,000 and \$0 for such services by HAW in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Fees for Tax Services - We were billed \$10,000 and \$0 for tax services by HAW during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

All Other Fees - We were billed aggregate fees of \$36,000 in other fees by HAW in each of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. Such fees were for services provided to a subsidiary of the company related to SSAE-16 Type II reports for the subsidiary’s processing services.

It is the policy of the Audit Committee to approve in advance, either verbally or in writing, all audit services and permitted non-audit services provided to the company by the independent accountants. All such services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in the two years ended December 31, 2015.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The lease on our headquarters and primary facility at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia is held by ISC Properties, LLC, an entity controlled by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, J. Leland Strange. Mr. Strange holds a 100% ownership interest in ISC Properties, LLC. In the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we paid \$275,000 and \$468,000, respectively, in rent to ISC Properties, LLC, which the company believes to be market rate.

SHAREHOLDERS' PROPOSALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING IN 2017

Shareholders who wish to submit a proposal for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must submit such proposals so that they are received by the company no later than December 9, 2016. Such proposals must comply with Exchange Act Rule 14a-8 and all other applicable proxy rules and requirements contained in our Bylaws relating to shareholder proposals to be included in our proxy materials. Shareholders intending to present proposals at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2017 but who do not wish to submit the proposal for inclusion in our proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 should submit these proposals to the Secretary of the company by certified mail, return receipt requested, at our offices in Norcross, Georgia on or before December 9, 2016. Our bylaws contain an advance notice provision that states that, among other things, in order for business to be brought properly before an annual meeting of shareholders by a shareholder, the shareholder must have given timely notice of the business in writing to the Secretary of the company. To be timely under the Bylaws, a shareholder's notice must be received at our principal offices by December 9, 2016.

OTHER MATTERS WHICH MAY COME BEFORE THE MEETING

The Board is not aware of any matter other than those stated above that are to be brought before the meeting. However, if any other matter should be presented for consideration and voting, the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy intend to vote the proxy in accordance with their judgment of what is in the best interest of the company.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Any record or beneficial owner of our common stock as of April 1, 2016 may request a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, including financial statements and schedules. Shareholders may also view and download a free copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K from our web site at www.intelsys.com. Any request for the Form 10-K should be in writing addressed to: Bonnie L. Herron, Intelligent Systems Corporation, 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia 30093. We will provide copies of any exhibits to the Form 10-K upon request and upon the payment of our reasonable expenses in furnishing such exhibits.

IMPORTANT NOTICE CONCERNING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report to Shareholders are available at <https://materials.proxyvote.com/45816D>

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K.

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-9330

INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia

58-1964787

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia

30093

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number: **(770) 381-2900**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, \$.01 par value	NYSE MKT

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer" "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2015 was \$19,582,276 (computed using the closing price of the common stock on June 30, 2015 as reported by the NYSE MKT).

As of February 29, 2016, 8,731,299 shares of common stock of the registrant were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 26, 2016 are incorporated by reference in Part III hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Part I	
Item	
1. Business.....	1
2. Properties.....	5
3. Legal Proceedings	5
4. Mine Safety Disclosures.....	5
Part II	
5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.....	5
7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	6
8. Financial Statements.....	12
9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.....	12
9A. Controls and Procedures	12
Part III	
10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	13
11. Executive Compensation	13
12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	14
13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.....	14
14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.....	14
Part IV	
15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	15
Signatures	17

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, this Form 10-K may contain forward-looking statements relating to Intelligent Systems Corporation ("ISC"). All statements, trend analyses and other information contained in the following discussion relative to markets for our products and trends in revenue, gross margins and anticipated expense levels, as well as other statements including words such as "anticipate", "believe", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "likely" and "intend", and other similar expressions constitute forward-looking statements. Prospective investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements. A number of the factors that we believe could impact our future operations are discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 of this Form 10-K. ISC undertakes no obligation to update or revise its forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes in future operating results except as required by law.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Intelligent Systems Corporation, a Georgia corporation, and its predecessor companies have operated since 1973 and its securities have been publicly traded since 1981. In this report, sometimes we use the terms "company", "us", "ours", "we", "Registrant" and similar words to refer to Intelligent Systems Corporation and subsidiaries. Our executive offices are located at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia 30093 and our telephone number is (770) 381-2900. Our Internet address is www.intelsys.com. We publish our Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") reports on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with or furnish them to the SEC, and shareholders may access and download these reports free of charge.

On March 31, 2015, we sold our largest operating subsidiary, ChemFree Corporation to CRC Industries, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation ("CRC"). ChemFree manufactures and markets a line of parts washers under the SmartWasher® trademark. Accordingly, we have retroactively classified the ChemFree operations as discontinued operations in all periods presented.

Following the sale of ChemFree, we are primarily engaged in the business of providing technology solutions and processing services to the financial technology and services market, commonly referred to as the FinTech industry. Our FinTech operations are conducted through our CoreCard Software, Inc. ("CoreCard") subsidiary and its affiliate companies in Romania and India, as well as the corporate office which provides significant administrative, human resources and executive management support to CoreCard. We own approximately 96 percent of CoreCard. We also have two wholly owned subsidiaries, CoreCard SRL in Romania and ISC Software in India, that perform software development and testing as well as processing operations support for CoreCard, but do not sell products or services to third parties.

For further information about trends and risks likely to impact our business, please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

CoreCard Software, Inc. – We conduct our business primarily through CoreCard Software, Inc. ("CoreCard"). Our wholly owned subsidiaries, CoreCard SRL and ISC Software in Romania and India, respectively, perform software development and testing for CoreCard but do not sell products or services to third parties. Accordingly, this discussion describes the CoreCard business involving the three entities as a single business unit. CoreCard designs, develops, and markets a comprehensive suite of software solutions to accounts receivable businesses, financial institutions, retailers and processors to manage their credit and debit cards, prepaid cards, private label cards, fleet cards, loyalty programs, and accounts receivable and small loan transactions. CoreCard also uses the same software solutions in its processing operations for companies that prefer to outsource this function to CoreCard rather than license the software for in-house operations.

The CoreCard® software solutions allow companies to offer various types of debit and credit cards as well as revolving loans, to set up and maintain account data, to record advances and payments, to assess fees, interest and other charges, to resolve disputes and chargebacks, to manage collections of accounts receivable, to generate reports and to settle transactions with financial institutions and network associations.

The CoreCard® proprietary software applications are based on CoreCard's core financial transaction processing platform (CoreENGINE™) and address the unique requirements of customers and program managers that issue or process:

- Credit/Debit Cards/Loans – revolving or non-revolving credit issued to consumer or business accounts (with or without a physical card) that typically involve interest, fees, settlement, collections, etc. Within this market, CoreCard offers software specifically tailored to handle private label cards, network branded (i.e. MasterCard or VISA) bank cards, fleet cards, short-term consumer loans and revolving accounts receivable.
- Prepaid Cards – pre-loaded funds drawn down for purchase or cash withdrawal typically involving a variety of fees but no interest. Numerous examples exist including gift cards, loyalty/reward cards, health benefit cards, payroll and benefits disbursement, student aid disbursement, government assistance payments, corporate expense cards, and transit cards.

The CoreCard® software solutions allow financial institutions and commercial customers to optimize their card account management systems, improve customer retention, lower operating costs and create greater market differentiation. For example, the CoreCard® solutions are feature-rich, browser-based financial transaction processing solutions that allow customers to automate, streamline and optimize business processes associated with the set-up, administration, management and settlement of credit, prepaid and loan accounts, to process transactions, and to generate reports and statements for these accounts. In addition, because the CoreCard products are designed to run on lower cost, scalable PC-based servers, rather than expensive legacy mainframe computers, customers may benefit from a lower overall cost-of-ownership and scalability by adding additional servers as their card volume grows. The CoreCard product functionality includes embedded multi-lingual, multi-currency support, web-based interface, real-time processing, complex rules-based authorizations, account hierarchies, and robust fee libraries. These features support customer-defined pricing and payment terms and allow CoreCard's customers to create new and innovative card programs to differentiate themselves in the marketplace and improve customer retention.

We believe CoreCard is unique among software companies because it offers a full array of card and account management software solutions, available either for in-house license or outsourced processing by CoreCard's processing business ("Processing Services") at the customer's option. CoreCard also provides customers with a unique option to license the same CoreCard software that is used in the CoreCard processing environment and transfer it in-house for customer controlled processing at a later date.

- License - Typically CoreCard sells a software license to a customer who then runs the CoreCard software system, configured for the customer's unique requirements, at a customer controlled location.
- Processing Services - CoreCard has expanded the ways customers can access or deploy its software by offering processing services that allow customers to outsource their card processing requirements to CoreCard. CoreCard manages all aspects of the processing functions using its proprietary software configured for each processing customer.

It has taken more time and resources than expected to build the relationships and infrastructure to support CoreCard's Processing Services line of business. However, CoreCard is now processing prepaid cards and credit financing for a number of customers and intends to steadily grow this business as it adds new processing customers in 2016. CoreCard has a data processing center and disaster recovery site at secure third party locations, is certified as compliant with the Payment Card Industry (PCI) Data Security Standards and has an SSAE-16 SOC 1 independent audit report that can be relied on by its prepaid processing customers. It has obtained certification from the Discover, MasterCard, Star and Pulse networks and expects to complete direct connections and certification by other major network associations in 2016.

CoreCard has relationships with several financial institutions that are important for network certification, referrals for processing or program managers, and sponsoring prospective card programs.

In 2015, CoreCard added Program Manager capabilities in addition to processing services, which will allow us to gain experience and increase revenue potential, although we do not expect any significant revenue impact near term.

CoreCard's principal target markets include accounts receivable businesses, prepaid card issuers, retail and private-label issuers, small third-party processors, and small and mid-size financial institutions in the United States. It is adding customers in international markets as well. CoreCard competes with third-party card processors that allow customers to outsource their account transaction processing rather than acquire software to manage their transactions in-house. CoreCard also competes to some extent with larger

and more established software suppliers, and a number of software solution providers that offer more limited functional modules. Some of CoreCard's competitors, especially certain processors, have significantly more financial, marketing and development resources than does CoreCard and have large, established customer bases often tied to long-term contracts. CoreCard believes it can compete successfully in its selected markets by providing to its licensed software customers a robust technology platform, lower overall cost-of-ownership, greater system flexibility, and more customer-driven marketing options. Furthermore, we believe our processing option is an attractive alternative particularly for small, prepaid and credit issuers or companies entering new credit or prepaid markets that may not have the technology expertise to run the software in-house initially. Under our processing option, customers can contract with CoreCard to provide processing services for their accounts using CoreCard software configured to the customer's preferences, with an option to license the same software and bring it in-house when and if the customer decides to become its own processor in the future. We believe this transition path for customers is unique in the industry.

The CoreCard® software platform and modules include CoreENGINE™, CoreISSUE™, CoreFRAUD™, CoreCOLLECT™, CoreSALES™, CoreAPP™, CoreMONEY™ and CoreACQUIRE™. Using a proprietary, base transaction processing platform called CoreENGINE, the CoreCard application modules have been further enhanced to meet the specific requirements of different market segments; for instance, CoreISSUE is available in different versions tailored to the requirements for issuing prepaid cards, fleet cards, bank cards or private label cards/accounts as well as accounts receivable management. In addition, CoreCard configures and/or customizes its robust base modules with additional or specific functionality to meet each customer's requirements. The company has developed and sold such products to customers in the prepaid, fleet, private label, retail and credit markets. As is typical of most software companies, CoreCard expects to continually enhance and upgrade its existing software solutions and to develop additional modules to meet changing customer and market requirements. To date, CoreCard has focused its extensive development and limited sales activities on building a base of customers in each of its target markets, as well as putting in place the infrastructure and processes to be able to scale the business successfully, particularly for the processing services business.

Historically, most of the company's sales have resulted from prospects contacting CoreCard based on an online search. CoreCard typically sells its products directly to customers, often in competitive situations, with relatively long sales and implementation cycles.

We have several revenue streams. We receive software license fees that vary depending upon the number of licensed users and the number of software modules licensed with initial contract revenue typically ranging from \$200,000 to \$1 million. We also derive service revenue from implementation, customization, and annual maintenance and support contracts for our licensed software. In addition to licensing our software, we offer processing services (running on the CoreCard software platform). Processing customers pay an implementation and setup fee plus monthly service fees under a contract with a term of three or more years. Depending on factors such as contract terms, customer implementation and testing schedule, and extent of customization or configuration required and whether we are licensing or processing, the timing of revenue recognition on contracts may lead to considerable fluctuation in revenue and profitability. There are often delays in implementation cycles, especially for processing customers, due to third party approvals or processes that are outside of CoreCard's control and thus it is not possible to predict with certainty when we will be able to begin recognizing revenue on new contracts.

CoreCard's licensed software products are used by its customers to manage and process various credit, debit and prepaid card programs and there are a number of federal and state regulations governing the issuance of and the processing of financial transactions associated with such cards. CoreCard's customers are required to comply with such regulations and, to the extent that customers depend on their licensed CoreCard software to manage and process their card accounts, the CoreCard software features and functionality must allow customers to comply with the various governmental regulations. CoreCard continually evaluates applicable regulations and regularly upgrades and enhances its software to help its customers meet their obligations to comply with current and anticipated governmental regulations. As part of CoreCard's processing business, CoreCard is responsible for providing compliance-related services, including data and network security, customer identification screening and regular reporting which enable its customers to be in compliance with all applicable governmental regulations including but not limited to the Bank Secrecy Act and Anti-Money Laundering regulations. Depending on the extent of changes and new governmental regulations, CoreCard may from time to time incur additional costs to modify its software and services to be compliant. CoreCard has no costs related to compliance with environmental laws.

We believe that uncertainty and turmoil in the financial services sector as well as the increased regulatory and compliance requirements have had a negative impact on buying decisions for potential customers in recent years. The situation has impacted and may continue to impact the willingness and ability of banks and network associations (such as MasterCard or Visa) to approve new customer programs which could impact demand for our product and service offerings.

Our business is not seasonal.

Incubator Program

For more than twenty-five years, we have been associated with an incubator program (the Gwinnett Innovation Park) at our corporate facility in Norcross, a suburb of Atlanta, Georgia. In exchange for a monthly facility fee, incubator companies have access to office space, conference facilities, telecommunication and network infrastructure, business advice, and a network of peers. We view this program as a way to stay abreast of new business opportunities and trends which may benefit our company while simultaneously contributing to our local community in a positive way by supporting entrepreneurship and start-ups, with minimal financial outlay or management time.

Non-consolidated Companies

From time to time, we have invested in entrepreneurial companies that we believe are bringing new applications or technologies to business markets and may continue to do so. Typically, these companies are privately held, early stage companies in technology-related fields. From time to time, we may increase our investment in a company or write down the value of an investment if we believe it is impaired or there may be a liquidation event in which we participate. Typically the timing and amounts of such events are not predictable. Please refer to Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for more information related to a sale and a write-down of two of our non-consolidated companies.

Research and Development

We spent \$2.9 million and \$3.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, on company sponsored research and development. All of our consolidated research and development expense is related to our FinTech business. We maintain a workforce of approximately 225 employees in our offshore operations in India and Romania for software development and testing, as well as operations support for processing services. We continuously add new features and functionality to our financial technology software in response to market requirements and trends and expect to continue to do so.

Patents, Trademarks and Trade Secrets

We have one U.S. patent covering aspects of CoreCard's core software platform. It may be possible for competitors to duplicate certain aspects of our products and processes even though we regard such aspects as proprietary. We have registered with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and various foreign jurisdictions various trademarks and service marks for our products. We believe that an active trade secret, trade name, trademark, and copyright protection program is one element in developing and maintaining brand recognition and protecting our intellectual property. We presently market our products under trademarks and service marks such as CoreCard®, CoreENGINE™, CoreISSUE™, CoreCOLLECT™, CoreMONEY™ and others.

Personnel

As of February 15, 2016, we had 252 full-time equivalent employees (including our subsidiaries in the United States and foreign countries). Of these, 246 are involved in CoreCard's software development, testing and operations, and 6 in corporate functions. Our employees are not represented by a labor union, we have not had any work stoppages or strikes and we believe our employee relations are good.

Financial Information About Geographic Areas

See Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Except for the risk associated with fluctuations in currency, we do not believe there are any specific risks attendant to our foreign operations that are significantly different than the general business risks discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We have a lease covering approximately 15,000 square feet in Norcross, Georgia to house our product development, manufacturing, sales, service and administration operations for our domestic operations. Our Norcross lease was renewed April 15, 2015 for a three year term. We also lease a small office in Timisoara, Romania and we own a 6,350 square foot office facility in Bhopal, India to house the software development and testing activities of our offshore subsidiaries. We believe our facilities are adequate for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not a party to any material pending legal proceedings.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is listed and traded on the NYSE MKT ("NYSE") under the symbol INS. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported by the NYSE.

Year Ended December 31,	2015		2014	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st Quarter	\$2.98	\$1.58	\$2.68	\$1.60
2nd Quarter	3.34	2.64	1.91	1.28
3rd Quarter	3.09	2.44	1.87	1.26
4th Quarter	3.49	2.72	1.64	0.97

We had 235 shareholders of record as of February 15, 2016. This number does not include beneficial owners of our common stock whose shares are held in the names of various dealers, clearing agencies, banks, brokers and other fiduciaries. On February 9, 2016, we paid a special cash dividend of \$0.35 per share totaling \$3,056,000 to shareholders. However, the company has not paid regular dividends in the past and does not expect to pay any regular dividends in the foreseeable future. Under our revolving line of credit facility, we are precluded from paying dividends without obtaining consent from our lender. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

See Item 12 for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

There have been no sales of unregistered securities by the company during the period covered by this Form 10-K.

Repurchases of Securities

The company did not repurchase any of its shares of common stock during the fourth quarter of 2015.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our Consolidated Financial Statements which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We consider certain accounting policies related to revenue recognition and valuation of investments to be critical policies due to the estimation processes involved in each. For a detailed description on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition – Product revenue consists of fees from software licenses. Service revenue consists of fees for processing services; professional services for software customization, consulting, training; reimbursable expenses; and software maintenance and customer support.

Our software license arrangements generally fall into one of the following four categories:

- an initial contract with the customer to license certain software modules, to provide services to get the customer live on the software (such as training and customization) and to provide post contract support (“PCS”) for a specified period of time thereafter (typically three months),
- purchase of additional licenses for new modules or for tier upgrades for a higher volume of licensed accounts after the initial contract,
- other optional standalone contracts, usually performed after the customer is live on the software, for services such as new interfaces or custom features requested by the customer, additional training and problem resolution not covered in annual maintenance contracts, and
- contracts for certain licensed software products that involve an initial fee plus recurring monthly fees during the contract life.

We review each contract to determine if multiple elements exist. As such, only arrangements under the initial contract described above contain multiple elements. Our revenue recognition policies for each of the situations described above are discussed below.

Presently, our initial software contracts do not meet the criteria for separate accounting because the software usually requires significant modification or customization that is essential to its functionality. At present, we use the completed contract method to account for our contracts as we do not have an adequate basis on which to prepare reliable estimates of percentage-of-completion for these contracts. Moreover, there are inherent hazards with software implementations, such as changes in customer requirements or software defects, that make estimates unreliable.

Accordingly, software revenue related to the license and the specified service elements (except for PCS) in the initial contract are recognized at the completion of the contract, when (i) there are no material uncertainties regarding customer acceptance, (ii) cancellation provisions, if any, have expired and (iii) there are no significant obligations remaining. We account for the PCS element contained in the initial contract based on vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value, which are annual renewal fees for such services, and PCS is recognized ratably on a straight-line basis over the period specified in the contract. Upon renewal of the PCS contract by the customer, we recognize revenues ratably on a straight-line basis over the period specified in the PCS contract. All of our software customers purchase software maintenance and support contracts and renew such contracts annually.

Purchases of additional licenses for tier upgrades or additional modules are recognized as license revenue in the period in which the purchase is made for perpetual licenses or ratably over the remaining contract term for non-perpetual licenses.

Services provided under standalone contracts that are optional to the customer and are outside of the scope of the initial contract are single element services contracts. These standalone services contracts are not essential to the functionality of the software contained in the initial contract and generally do not include acceptance clauses or refund rights as may be included in the initial software contracts, as described above. Revenues from these services contracts, which are generally performed within a relatively short period of time, are recognized when the services are complete.

For contracts for licensed software which include an initial fee plus recurring monthly fees for software usage, maintenance and support, we recognize the total fees ratably on a straight line basis over the estimated life of the contract as product revenue since there is no Vendor Specific Objective Evidence (VSOE) for the maintenance and support services.

For processing services which include an initial fee plus recurring monthly fees for services, we recognize the initial fees ratably on a straight line basis over the estimated life of the contract as services revenue.

Revenue is recorded net of applicable sales tax.

Deferred revenue consists of advance payments by software customers for annual or quarterly PCS, advance payments from customers for software licenses and professional services not yet delivered, and initial implementation payments for processing services or bundled license and support services in multi-year contracts. Deferred revenue is classified as long-term until such time that it becomes likely that the services or products will be provided within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Valuation of Investments – We hold minority interests in non-publicly traded companies whose values are difficult to determine and are based on management’s estimate of realizability of the value of the investment. Future adverse changes in market conditions, poor operating results, lack of progress of the investee company or its inability to raise capital to support its business plan could result in investment losses or an inability to recover the current carrying value of the investment. Our policy with respect to minority interests is to record an impairment charge when we believe an investment has experienced a decline in value that is other than temporary. We do not write-up the carrying value of our investments based on favorable changes or financial transactions. At least quarterly, we review our investments to determine any impairment in their carrying value and we write-down any impaired asset at quarter-end to our best estimate of its current realizable value. In the fourth quarter of 2015, we recorded an impairment charge of \$792,000 to reduce the carrying value of NKD Enterprises to management’s estimate of net realizable value. During the same quarter, we recorded a gain on investment of \$2.0 million when Lancop, Inc., an investee company, was sold to Cisco, Inc. Such gains or writedowns can have material impacts on our financial condition or results of operations and are generally not predictable in advance.

Executive Summary

The results reported reflect the effect of the sale of ChemFree subsidiary on March 31, 2015, as explained in more detail in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We have retroactively classified the ChemFree operations as discontinued operations in all periods presented. Our consolidated continuing operations consist of our CoreCard Software subsidiary and its affiliate companies in Romania and India, as well as the corporate office which provides significant administrative, human resources and executive management support to CoreCard.

We provide technology solutions and processing services to the financial services market, commonly referred to as the FinTech industry. We derive our product revenue from licensing our comprehensive suite of financial transaction management software to accounts receivable businesses, financial institutions, retailers and processors to manage their credit and debit cards, prepaid cards, private label cards, fleet cards, loyalty programs, and accounts receivable and small loan transactions. Our service revenue consists of fees for software maintenance and support for licensed software products, fees for processing services that we provide to companies that outsource their financial transaction processing functions to us, and professional services primarily for software customizations provided to both license and processing customers.

We have frequently recognized consolidated operating losses on a quarterly and annual basis and are likely to do so in the foreseeable future. We may report operating profits on an irregular basis and our results vary in part depending on the size and number of software licenses recognized in a particular period and the level of expenses incurred to support existing customers and development and sales activities. A significant portion of our expense is related to personnel, including approximately 225 employees located in India and Romania. In addition, we offer processing services as an alternative for customers who prefer to outsource this function instead of

licensing our software and running the application in-house. We are likely to incur losses in the near future because revenue for processing services is spread out over multi-year contracts while we are currently investing in the infrastructure, resources, processes and software features to support this developing business. In addition, we have certain corporate office expenses associated with being a public company that impact our operating results.

Our revenue fluctuates from period to period and our results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in future periods. It is difficult to predict the level of consolidated revenue on a quarterly or annual basis for a number of reasons, including the following:

- Software license revenue in a given period may consist of a relatively small number of contracts and contract values can vary considerably depending on the software product and scope of the license sold. Consequently, even minor delays in delivery under a software contract (which may be out of our control) could have a significant and unpredictable impact on the consolidated revenue that we recognize in a given quarterly or annual period.
- Customers may decide to postpone or cancel a planned implementation of our software for any number of reasons, which may be unrelated to our software or contract performance, but which may affect the amount, timing and characterization of our deferred and/or recognized revenue.
- Customers typically require our professional services to modify or enhance their CoreCard software implementation based on their specific business strategy and operational requirements, which vary from customer to customer and period to period.
- The timing of new processing customer implementations is often dependent on third party approvals or processes which are typically not under our direct control.

The sale of the ChemFree operations has resulted in significant cash balances. We used \$692,000 to repurchase shares of our common stock pursuant to a modified “Dutch” auction tender that ended on May 19, 2015. We intend to use cash balances to support the domestic and international operations associated with our CoreCard business and to expand our operations in the FinTech industry through financing the growth of CoreCard and, if appropriate opportunities become available, through acquisitions of businesses in this industry.

Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in this Annual Report.

Revenue – Total revenue from continuing operations in 2015 was \$4,782,000 which represents a 14 percent increase over 2014. We experienced revenue growth on both the products and services sides of the business.

- Revenue from products, which includes software license fees (and, in some cases monthly support fees when the license and support fees are bundled) was \$614,000 in 2015, a 20% increase over the \$510,000 reported in 2014. The product sales customer base remained consistent year to year with fluctuations in revenue generally reflecting the timing of tier upgrade fees related to the number of accounts covered by certain software licenses. The time required to implement a new software license customer due, in part, to the customizations typically requested by the customer generally results in a long lead time from start to finish. As such, certain software license revenue planned for 2015 has been pushed out to 2016.
- Revenue from services was \$4,168,000 in 2015, which represents a 13 percent increase over the \$3,677,000 reported in 2014. Revenue from transaction processing services and professional services were both higher in 2015 as compared to 2014 due to an increase in the number of customers and accounts on file for processing operations and more revenue was generated from professional services due to an increase in the number and value of professional services contracts completed in 2015. Maintenance revenue was lower in 2015 than in 2014, due to the expiration of a contract in the second quarter of 2014. As expected, revenue from processing services continues to grow as CoreCard’s customer base increases; however, the time required to implement new customer programs has taken longer than anticipated due to delays in third party integration and approval processes. It is not possible to predict with any accuracy the number and value of professional services contracts that CoreCard’s customers will require in a given period. Customers typically request our professional services to modify or enhance their CoreCard software implementation based on their specific business strategy and operational requirements, which vary from customer to customer and period to period.

Cost of Revenue – Total cost of revenue was 53 percent of total revenue for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, compared to 49 percent for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014.

- Cost of product revenue was \$219,000 or 36 percent as a percent of product revenue in 2015 compared to \$248,000 or 49 percent as a percent of product revenue in 2014. The differences are relatively immaterial in amount and reflect the number of resources required to support our licensed customers, which may vary depending upon numerous factors such as the mix of new versus established customers. The cost of product as a percent of product revenue may fluctuate depending upon the timing of tier upgrade fee revenue which generally has no additional cost of product associated with it.
- Cost of service revenue in 2015 was \$2,331,000 or as a percentage of total service revenue 56 percent compared to \$1,823,000 or as a percentage of total service revenue 50 percent in 2014. Cost of service revenue includes three components: costs to provide annual maintenance and support services to our installed base of licensed customers, costs to provide professional services, and costs to provide our financial transaction processing services. The cost and gross margins on such services can vary considerably from period to period depending on the customer mix, customer requirements and project complexity as well as the mix of our U.S. and offshore employees working on the various aspects of services provided. In addition, we continue to devote the resources necessary to support our developing processing business, including direct costs for regulatory compliance, infrastructure, network certifications, and customer support and currently expect these costs to continue to outpace processing revenue for the foreseeable future.

Operating Expenses – For the twelve month period ended December 31, 2015, total operating expenses from continuing operations were higher than in the corresponding period in 2014. General and administrative expenses were significantly higher in 2015 than in 2014, mainly due to factors related to the sale of the ChemFree subsidiary. In 2014 a significant component of corporate G&A expenses was charged to our former ChemFree subsidiary for services provided by the corporate office to ChemFree and is included in the line item Income from Discontinued Operations. Following the sale of ChemFree in March 2015, corporate activities and resources (and the associated expense) have been re-focused on our FInTech business and strategic initiatives, resulting in higher G&A expenses for continuing operations in 2015. In addition, the increase in G&A expenses for 2015 includes bonuses paid following the ChemFree sale as well as transaction expenses for the tender offer. Research and development expenses were 10 percent lower in 2015 as compared to 2014, mainly due to more technical personnel expenses being charged to direct cost of services for maintenance, professional services and processing. Marketing expenses remained relatively immaterial as a percentage of total operating expenses, 5% in 2015 and 6% in 2014, as resources have been more heavily dedicated to the research and development efforts of the business. We anticipate increasing marketing expenditures in the future as we are better positioned to support the processing business.

Investment Income – In 2015, we recorded \$1,247,000 of investment income, which was comprised primarily of \$2,034,000 on the sale of our minority interest in Lancop, Inc., in December 2015 offset in part by an impairment charge of \$792,000 in the fourth quarter of 2015 to write down the carrying value of another investee company, NKD Enterprises, to our estimate of net realizable value. In 2014, we recorded investment income, net, of \$128,000. We recorded a gain of \$145,000, on the sale of our minority interest in Silverpop, a privately-held company that was sold in 2014. Offset against this investment gain was a write-down of \$17,000 to reduce the carrying value to of a privately held company in which we owned a small interest to zero, our estimate of its net realizable value.

Gain on Sale of Discontinued Operations – As explained in more detail in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we recorded a gain of \$18,802,000 on the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary in 2015.

Income From Discontinued Operations – In 2015, there was a loss from discontinued operations of \$3,000 prior to the sale on March 31, 2015, compared to income from discontinued operations of \$1,211,000 in 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash balance at December 31, 2015 was \$18,059,000 compared to \$2,624,000 at December 31, 2014. The principal source of cash during the period was the sale of the ChemFree subsidiary which generated cash proceeds of \$18,202,000 on the closing of the transaction. In addition, a total of \$3,300,000 of the sale price was placed in escrow for purposes of securing our obligations to indemnify the buyer and to refund a portion of the purchase price if ChemFree's actual working capital amount on the closing date is less than the agreed upon target working capital. As of December 31, 2015, \$880,000 of the escrow funds, net of the working capital

adjustment, has been released to us and is included in our cash balance at year end. The remaining escrow balance of \$2,200,000 will remain in escrow until September 30, 2016 and is recorded as Restricted Cash at December 31, 2015.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, continuing operations used \$2,066,000 cash for operations of the FinTech business and corporate office as well as payment of bonuses related to the sale of the ChemFree subsidiary. The most significant working capital changes since December 31, 2014 include an increase in other current assets of \$2,508,000 of which \$2,248,000 relates to the sale of our minority interest in Lancop as explained in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, as well as an increase in accounts receivable of \$461,000 due to higher billings in 2015, along with an increase in deferred revenue of \$1,220,000 due to milestone billings for in-process contracts and deposits for professional services, which are not yet recognizable for GAAP reporting.

We also used \$272,000 cash to acquire computer equipment for our processing data centers and to upgrade office equipment for technical resources. In addition, we used \$430,000 cash to acquire minority interests in two privately-held technology companies.

As explained in Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, on April 22, 2015, we initiated a modified "Dutch" auction tender offer to purchase for cash shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of up to \$5.0 million. Upon completion of the tender offer, we purchased and retired 230,729 shares, using \$692,000 of the proceeds from the ChemFree sale

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, discontinued operations generated \$18,893,000 net cash, principally from the sale of ChemFree discussed above and further explained in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We renewed our line of credit in June 2014 with a maximum principal availability of \$1,250,000 based on qualified receivables; however, we have not borrowed under the bank line of credit in the past five years. The line of credit expires June 30, 2016, subject to the bank renewing the line for an additional period.

Subsequent to the 2015 year-end, on February 8, 2016 we paid a special cash dividend of \$0.35 per share totaling \$3,056,000 to shareholders of record as of January 29, 2016. Although we do not expect to pay any regular or special dividends in the foreseeable future, we reserve the right to do so at management's discretion pending both board approval and our lender's consent. We expect to have sufficient liquidity from cash on hand as well as projected customer payments to support our operations and capital equipment purchases in the foreseeable future. Currently we expect to use cash in excess of what is required for our current operations for opportunities we believe will expand our FinTech business, although there can be no assurance that appropriate opportunities will arise.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a current or future material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations.

Factors That May Affect Future Operations

Future operations are subject to risks and uncertainties that may negatively impact our future results of operations or projected cash requirements. It is difficult to predict future quarterly and annual results with certainty.

Among the numerous factors that may affect our consolidated results of operations or financial condition are the following:

- Weakness or instability in the global financial markets could have a negative impact due to potential customers (most of whom perform some type of financial services) delaying decisions to purchase software or initiate processing services.
- As an alternative to licensing our software, we offer processing services running on the CoreCard software system. There are numerous risks associated with entering any new line of business and if we fail to manage the risks associated with processing operations, it could have a negative impact on our business.
- Increased federal and state regulations and reluctance by financial institutions to act as sponsor banks for prospective customers could increase our losses and cash requirements.
- Delays in software development projects could cause our customers to postpone implementations or delay payments, which would increase our costs and reduce our revenue and cash.

- We could fail to deliver software products which meet the business and technology requirements of its target markets within a reasonable time frame and at a price point that supports a profitable, sustainable business model.
- Our processing business is impacted, directly or indirectly, by more regulations than our licensed software business. If we fail to provide services that comply with (or allow our customers to comply with) applicable regulations or processing standards, we could be subject to financial or other penalties that could negatively impact our business.
- Software errors or poor quality control may delay product releases, increase our costs, result in non-acceptance of our software by customers or delay revenue recognition.
- We could fail to expand our base of customers as quickly as anticipated, resulting in lower revenue and profits (or increased losses) and increased cash needs.
- We could fail to retain key software developers and managers who have accumulated years of know-how in our target markets and company products, or fail to attract and train a sufficient number of new software developers and testers to support our product development plans and customer requirements at projected cost levels.
- Increasing and changing government regulations in the United States and foreign countries related to such issues as data privacy, financial and credit transactions could require changes to our products and services which could increase our costs and could affect our existing customer relationships or prevent us from getting new customers.
- Delays in anticipated customer payments for any reason would increase our cash requirements and possibly our losses.
- Competitive pressures (including pricing, changes in customer requirements and preferences, and competitor product offerings) may cause prospective customers to choose an alternative product solution, resulting in lower revenue and profits (or increased losses).
- Our future capital needs are uncertain and depend on a number of factors; additional capital may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all.
- Other general economic and political conditions could cause customers to delay or cancel purchases.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements – In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) and in August 2015 issued ASU 2015-14 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date which amended existing guidance related to revenue from contracts with customers. This amendment supersedes and replaces nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance, establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. In addition, this amendment specifies the accounting for some costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer. These amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Early application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim reporting periods within annual reporting periods beginning one year after the annual reporting period in which the entity first applies the guidance of ASU 2014-09. The amendments should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized at the date of initial application. We are currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-01, Income Statement – Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20) - Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concepts of Extraordinary Items. ASU 2015-01 eliminates from U.S. GAAP the concept of extraordinary items, which, among other things, required an entity to segregate extraordinary items considered to be unusual and infrequent from the results of ordinary operations and show the item separately in the income statement, net of tax, after income from continuing operations. ASU 2015-01 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015 and is not expected to have a material effect on our operating results or financial condition.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10). The amendments in this Update require all equity investments to be measured at fair value with changes in the fair value recognized through net income (other than those accounted for under equity method of accounting or those that result in consolidation of the investee). The amendments in this Update also require an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. In addition the amendments in this Update eliminate certain disclosure requirements. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following Consolidated Financial Statements and related report of independent registered public accounting firm are included in this report and are incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8 hereof. See Index to Financial Statements on page F-1 hereof.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Habif, Arogeti & Wynne, LLP
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures*

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to their costs.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. At the end of the period covered by this Annual Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's management, including the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at that reasonable assurance level.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting*

We regularly review our system of internal control over financial reporting and make changes to our processes and systems to improve controls and increase efficiency, while ensuring that we maintain an effective internal control environment.

There were no significant changes in the company's internal control over financial reporting or in other factors identified in connection with this evaluation that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

(c) *Management's report on internal control over financial reporting*

The management of Intelligent Systems Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a – 15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The company maintains accounting and internal control systems which are intended to provide reasonable assurance that the assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and accounting

records are reliable for preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, risk.

The company's management evaluated the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this evaluation, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations ("COSO") of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework*. Based on our evaluation management believes that, as of December 31, 2015, the company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit smaller reporting companies such as our company to provide only management's report in the Annual Report.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Please refer to the subsection entitled "Proposal 1 - The Election of One Director - Nominee" and "Proposal 1 – The Election of One Director – Executive Officers" in our Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Proxy Statement") for information about the individuals nominated as directors and about the directors and executive officers of the company. This information is incorporated into this Item 10 by reference. Information regarding compliance by directors and executive officers of the company and owners of more than 10 percent of our common stock with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is contained under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement. This information is incorporated into this Item 10 by reference. Information regarding the company's Audit Committee and its composition is contained under the caption "Proposal 1 – The Election of One Director - Nominee" and "Proposal 1 – The Election of One Director – Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors" in the Proxy Statement. This information is incorporated into this Item 10 by reference.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the company's Board of Directors.

We have a Code of Ethics that applies to all directors, officers, and employees. The Code of Ethics is posted on our website at www.intelsys.com. We also disclose on our website, within the time required by the rules of the SEC, any waivers of, or amendments to, the Code of Ethics for the benefit of an executive officer.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Please refer to the subsection entitled "Proposal 1 - The Election of One Director - Executive Compensation" in the Proxy Statement for information about management compensation. This information is incorporated into this Item 11 by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth the amount of securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Plan category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	226,500	\$ 1.66	876,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	48,000	\$ 2.26	--
Total	274,500	\$ 1.76	876,000

Effective August 22, 2000, the company adopted the Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the "Director Plan"). The Director Plan expired in 2010 and was replaced by the 2011 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the "2011 Director Plan"), with essentially the same terms and conditions as the expired Director Plan. Up to 200,000 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under the Director Plan and 2011 Director Plan to non-employee directors with each director receiving an initial grant of 5,000 options followed by annual grants of 4,000 options on the date of each subsequent Annual Meeting. In the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, 12,000 and 16,000 options, respectively were granted under the 2011 Director Plan, and 8,000 and 12,000 options, respectively, expired unexercised. In 2015, 4,000 options were exercised. The company instituted the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan") in March 2003 and the 2003 Plan expired in 2013. The 2003 Plan authorized the issuance of up to 450,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to officers and key employees. No options were granted or exercised under the 2003 Plan in the past two years. In 2015, shareholders approved the Intelligent Systems Corporation Stock Incentive Plan (the "2015 Plan") that provides for the issuance of up to 750,000 shares of common stock to employees and key consultants and advisors. No grants have been made under the 2015 Plan. Stock options are granted under the company's equity compensation plans at fair market value on the date of grant and vest ratably over two or three year periods after the date of grant.

Please refer to the subsection entitled "Voting – Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement for information about the ownership of our common stock by certain persons. This information is incorporated into this Item 12 by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The lease on our headquarters and primary facility at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia is held by ISC Properties, LLC, an entity controlled by J. Leland Strange, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Strange holds a 100% ownership interest in ISC Properties, LLC. We paid ISC Properties, LLC \$275,000 and \$468,000 in the years ending December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Simultaneous with the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary on March 31, 2015, we renewed our facility lease with ISC Properties, Inc. and reduced the amount of space leased.

Please refer to the subsection entitled "Proposal 1 - The Election of One Director - Nominee" in the Proxy Statement referred to in Item 10 for information regarding the independence of the company's directors. This information is incorporated into this Item 13 by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Please refer to the subsection entitled "Independent Registered Public Accountants" in the Proxy Statement for information about the fees paid to and services performed by our independent public accountants. This information is incorporated into this Item 14 by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

We are filing the following exhibits with this report or incorporating them by reference to earlier filings. Shareholders may request a copy of any exhibit by contacting Bonnie L. Herron, Secretary, Intelligent Systems Corporation, 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, Georgia 30093; telephone (770) 381-2900. There is a charge of \$.50 per page to cover expenses of copying and mailing.

- 2.1 Purchase Agreement between CRC Industries, Inc. and Intelligent Systems Corporation dated March 31, 2015. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated March 31, 2015.)
 - 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated March 18, 2010. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.)
 - 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant dated December 7, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2007.)
 - 10.1 Lease Agreement dated April 1, 2015, between the Registrant and ISC Properties, LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015.)
 - 10.3 Management Compensation Plans and Arrangements:
 - (a) Intelligent Systems Corporation Incentive Stock Plan
 - (b) 2011 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan
- Exhibit 10.3(a) is incorporated by reference to the Registrant's 2015 Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A.
Exhibit 10.3(b) is incorporated by reference to the Registrant's 2011 Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A.
- 10.4 Loan Agreement by and among Intelligent Systems Corporation and Fidelity Bank dated October 1, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
 - 10.5 Security Agreement by and among Intelligent Systems Corporation and Fidelity Bank dated as of October 1, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
 - 10.6 Form of Security Agreement by and among majority owned subsidiary companies of Intelligent Systems Corporation and Fidelity Bank as of October 1, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
 - 10.7 Negative Pledge Agreement by and among Intelligent Systems Corporation and Fidelity Bank dated October 1, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
 - 10.8 Commercial Promissory Note and Rider thereto of Intelligent Systems Corporation in favor of Fidelity Bank dated October 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
 - 10.9 Form of Guarantee of majority owned subsidiaries of Intelligent Systems Corporation in favor of Fidelity Bank dated October 1, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)

- 10.10 Thirteenth Modification to Loan Documents by and among Intelligent Systems Corporation and Fidelity Bank dated March 31, 2015. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015.)

- 21.1 List of subsidiaries of Registrant.

- 23.1 Consent of Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC.

- 23.2 Consent of Habif, Arogeti & Wynne, LLP.

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document ***
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema ***
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation ***
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions ***
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels ***
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation ***

*** XBRL information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS CORPORATION
Registrant

Date: March 16, 2016

By: /s/ J. Leland Strange
J. Leland Strange
Chairman of the Board, President
and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Capacity	Date
<u>/s/ J. Leland Strange</u> J. Leland Strange	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 16, 2016
<u>/s/ Bonnie L. Herron</u> Bonnie L. Herron	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)	March 16, 2016
<u>/s/ Philip H. Moise</u> Philip H. Moise	Director	March 16, 2016
<u>/s/ Cherie M. Fuzzell</u> Cherie M. Fuzzell	Director	March 16, 2016
<u>/s/ Parker H. Petit</u> Parker H. Petit	Director	March 16, 2016

INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS CORPORATION
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following consolidated financial statements of the Registrant and its subsidiaries are submitted herewith in response to Item 8:

Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC.....	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Habif, Arogeti & Wynne, LLP	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014.....	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.....	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.....	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Intelligent Systems Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Intelligent Systems Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Intelligent Systems Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC

Atlanta, Georgia
March 2, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Intelligent Systems Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Intelligent Systems Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Intelligent Systems Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Habif. Arogeti & Wynne, LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 18, 2015, except for Note 7 and Note 18, (not presented herein) to the consolidated financial statements appearing under Item 8 of the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, as to which the date is March 17, 2015.

Intelligent Systems Corporation
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

As of December 31,	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$18,059	\$ 2,624
Marketable securities	396	463
Accounts receivable, net	962	501
Other current assets	2,846	338
Restricted cash	2,200	--
Assets from discontinued operations	--	3,012
Total current assets	24,463	6,938
Investments	1,015	1,605
Property and equipment, at cost less accumulated depreciation	636	581
Other long-term assets	59	81
Total assets	\$ 26,173	\$ 9,205
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 78	\$ 90
Deferred revenue, current portion	1,830	610
Accrued payroll	495	582
Accrued expenses	25	24
Other current liabilities	243	274
Liabilities from discontinued operations	120	838
Total current liabilities	2,791	2,418
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	195	191
Other long-term liabilities	18	18
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Intelligent Systems Corporation stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 8,731,299 and 8,958,028 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively		
	87	90
Additional paid-in capital	20,875	21,537
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(184)	(110)
Accumulated income (deficit)	5,270	(12,750)
Total Intelligent Systems Corporation stockholders' equity	26,048	8,767
Noncontrolling interest	(2,879)	(2,189)
Total stockholders' equity	23,169	6,578
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 26,173	\$ 9,205

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Intelligent Systems Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Year Ended December 31,	2015	2014
Revenue		
Products	\$ 614	\$ 510
Services	4,168	3,677
Total net revenue	4,782	4,187
Cost of revenue		
Products	219	248
Services	2,331	1,823
Total cost of revenue	2,550	2,071
Expenses		
Marketing	242	271
General and administrative	1,935	950
Research and development	2,877	3,189
Loss from operations	(2,822)	(2,294)
Investment income	1,247	128
Other income	109	18
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(1,466)	(2,148)
Income taxes	3	18
Net loss from continuing operations	(1,469)	(2,166)
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of taxes	18,802	--
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, no tax effect	(3)	1,211
Net income (loss)	17,330	(955)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	690	879
Net income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation	\$ 18,020	\$ (76)
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation:		
Basic: Continuing operations	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.15)
Discontinued operations	2.14	0.14
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.01)
Diluted: Continuing operations	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.15)
Discontinued operations	2.10	0.14
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ 2.02	\$ (0.01)
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	8,806,875	8,958,028
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	8,912,109	8,958,028
Net income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation:		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (779)	\$ (1,287)
Income from discontinued operations	18,799	1,211
Net income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation	\$ 18,020	\$ (76)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Intelligent Systems Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(in thousands)

Year Ended December 31,	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,330	\$ (955)
Other comprehensive income:		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(6)	10
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale marketable securities	(68)	(20)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	17,256	(965)
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	690	877
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation	\$ 17,946	\$ (88)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Intelligent Systems Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except share amounts)

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Intelligent Systems Corporation stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, number of shares , beginning of year	8,958,028	8,958,028
Stock option exercised	4,000	--
Stock repurchased during year in tender offer	(230,729)	--
End of year	8,731,299	8,958,028
Common stock, amount , beginning of year	\$ 90	\$ 90
Stock repurchased during year in tender offer	(3)	--
End of year	87	90
Additional paid-in capital , beginning of year	21,537	21,488
Stock repurchased during year in tender offer	(689)	--
Stock option exercised	8	--
Stock compensation expense	19	49
End of year	20,875	21,537
Accumulated other comprehensive loss , beginning of year	(110)	(98)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(6)	8
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale marketable securities	(68)	(20)
End of year	(184)	(110)
Accumulated earnings (deficit) , beginning of year	(12,750)	(12,674)
Net income (loss)	18,020	(76)
End of year	5,270	(12,750)
Total Intelligent Systems Corporation stockholders' equity	26,048	8,767
Noncontrolling interest , beginning of year	(2,189)	(1,312)
Other comprehensive income	--	2
Net loss	(690)	(879)
End of year	(2,879)	(2,189)
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 23,169	\$ 6,578

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Intelligent Systems Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

Year Ended December 31,
2015 **2014**

OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,330	\$ (955)
Income from discontinued operations	(18,799)	(1,211)
Loss from continuing operations	(1,469)	(2,166)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash used for operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	217	155
Stock-based compensation expense	19	49
Non-cash income from discontinued operations	--	100
Non-cash investment and interest income (loss), net	1,005	(127)
Equity in loss of affiliate company	14	1
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	(461)	200
Other current assets	(2,508)	(119)
Other long term assets	22	27
Accounts payable	(12)	(41)
Accrued payroll	(87)	57
Deferred revenue, current portion	1,220	(29)
Accrued expenses	1	(182)
Other current liabilities	(31)	99
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	4	--
Other long-term liabilities	--	18
Net cash used for operating activities	(2,066)	(1,958)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of marketable securities	--	(132)
Purchases of property and equipment	(272)	(189)
Purchase of long-term investment	(430)	--
Proceeds from sale of long-term investment	--	171
Net cash used for investing activities	(702)	(150)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Sale of capital stock pursuant to exercise of option	8	--
Repurchase of capital stock pursuant to tender offer	(692)	--
Net cash used for financing activities	(684)	--
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities from discontinued operations	(189)	1,377
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities from discontinued operations	19,082	(88)
Net cash provided by discontinued operations	18,893	1,289
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash	(6)	10
Net increase (decrease) in cash	15,435	(809)
Cash at beginning of year	2,624	3,433
Cash at end of year	\$ 18,059	\$ 2,624
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ --	\$ 65

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization – In this document, terms such as the “company”, “we”, “us”, “our” and “ISC” refer to Intelligent Systems Corporation, a Georgia corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Consolidation – The financial statements include the accounts of Intelligent Systems Corporation and its majority owned and controlled U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiary companies after elimination of material inter-company accounts and transactions.

Nature of Operations – As further explained in Note 2, on March 31, 2015, we sold our largest operating subsidiary, ChemFree Corporation. Accordingly, we have retroactively classified the ChemFree operations as discontinued operations in all periods presented. Our continuing operations consist primarily of our CoreCard Software, Inc. (“CoreCard”) subsidiary and its affiliate companies in Romania and India, as well as the corporate office which provides significant administrative, human resources and executive management support to CoreCard. CoreCard provides technology solutions and processing services to the financial technology and services market, commonly referred to as the FinTech industry.

Use of Estimates – In preparing the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions also affect amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Areas where we use estimates and make assumptions are to determine our allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of our investments, depreciation and amortization expense, accrued expenses and deferred income taxes.

Translation of Foreign Currencies – We consider that the respective local currencies are the functional currencies for our foreign operations. We translate assets and liabilities to U.S. dollars at period-end exchange rates. We translate income and expense items at average rates of exchange prevailing during the period. Translation adjustments are recorded as accumulated other comprehensive gain or loss as a separate component of stockholders’ equity. Upon sale of an investment in a foreign operation, the currency translation adjustment component attributable to that operation is removed from accumulated other comprehensive loss and is reported as part of gain or loss on sale of discontinued operations.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – Accounts receivable are customer obligations due under normal trade terms. They are stated at the amount management expects to collect. We sell our software products and transaction processing services to companies involved in a variety of industries that provide some form of credit or prepaid financing options or perform financial services. We perform continuing credit evaluations of our customers’ financial condition and we do not require collateral. The amount of accounting loss for which we are at risk in these unsecured receivables is limited to their carrying value.

Senior management reviews accounts receivable on a regular basis to determine if any receivables will potentially be uncollectible. We include any accounts receivable balances that are estimated to be uncollectible in our overall allowance for doubtful accounts. After all attempts to collect a receivable have failed, the receivable is written off against the allowance. Based on the information available to us, we believe our allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2015 is adequate. However, actual write-offs might exceed the recorded allowance. Refer to Note 5.

Marketable Securities – Our marketable securities, which are classified as available-for-sale, are stated at fair value, and primarily consist of investments in exchange traded funds comprised of dividend paying companies. The fair value of the marketable securities is \$396,000 at December 31, 2015; an unrealized loss of \$68,000 is included in other comprehensive income. The fair value of the marketable securities was \$463,000 at December 31, 2014; an unrealized loss of \$20,000 was included in other comprehensive income.

Property and Equipment – Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related asset. Upon retirement or sale, the cost of assets disposed of and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is credited or charged to income. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. We continually evaluate whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate the remaining estimated useful life of property and equipment may warrant revision, or that the remaining balance of these assets may not be recoverable. An asset is considered to be impaired when its carrying amount exceeds the sum of the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. The amount of the impairment loss, if any, which is equal to the

amount by which the carrying value exceeds its fair value, is charged to current operations. For each of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, no such impairment existed.

Classification	Useful life in years
Machinery and equipment	3 - 5
Furniture and fixtures	5 - 7
Leasehold improvements	1 - 5
Building	39

The cost of each major class of property and equipment at December 31, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	2015	2014
Machinery and equipment	\$ 2,178	\$ 1,911
Furniture and fixtures	197	192
Leasehold improvements	258	258
Building	308	308
Subtotal	2,941	2,669
Accumulated depreciation	(2,305)	(2,088)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 636	\$ 581

Depreciation expense for continuing operations was \$217,000 and \$155,000 in 2015 and 2014, respectively. These expenses are included in general and administrative expenses or, for assets associated with our processing data centers, are included in cost of services.

Following the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary in March 2015, we no longer have any leased equipment or lease rental income.

Investments – For entities in which we have a 20 to 50 percent ownership interest and over which we exercise significant influence, but do not have control, we account for investments in privately-held companies under the equity method, whereby we record our proportional share of the investee's net income or net loss as an adjustment to the carrying value of the investment. We account for investments of less than 20 percent in non-marketable equity securities of corporations at the lower of cost or market. Our policy with respect to investments is to record an impairment charge when we believe an investment has experienced a decline in value that is other than temporary. At least quarterly, we review our investments to determine any impairment in their carrying value and we write-down any impaired asset at quarter-end to our best estimate of its current realizable value. Any such charges could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations and are generally not predictable in advance. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized \$1,247,000 of investment income, net, principally related to a gain of \$2,034,000 on the sale of one of our cost method investments which was offset in part by an impairment charge of \$792,000 to reduce the carrying value of our equity method investment to \$100,000, management's estimate of realizable value. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized \$145,000 on the sale of one of our cost method investments and we also took a net impairment charge of \$17,000 to reduce the carrying value of another cost method investment to zero, management's estimate of realizable value. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the aggregate value of investments was \$1,015,000 and \$1,605,000, respectively.

Patents – Following the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary, we no longer have any undepreciated patent assets on our balance sheet and no amortization expense for continuing operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments – The carrying value of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and certain other financial instruments (such as accrued expenses and other current assets and liabilities) included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets approximates their fair value principally due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and trade accounts. Our available cash is held in accounts managed by third-party financial institutions. Cash may exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, insurance limits. While we monitor cash balances on a regular basis and adjust the balances as appropriate, these balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access

to our cash; however, we can provide no assurances that access to our cash will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

A concentration of credit risk may exist with respect to trade receivables, as a substantial portion of our customers are concentrated in the financial services industry.

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of customers worldwide and do not require collateral from our customers. Historically, we have not experienced significant losses related to receivables from individual customers or groups of customers in any particular industry or geographic area.

Fair Value Measurements – In determining fair value, we use quoted market prices in active markets. Generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) establishes a fair value measurement framework, provides a single definition of fair value, and requires expanded disclosure summarizing fair value measurements. GAAP emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

GAAP establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable input be used when available. Observable inputs are based on data obtained from sources independent of the company that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the company’s assumptions about the estimates market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The hierarchy is measured in three levels based on the reliability of inputs:

- Level 1

Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the company has the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments.

- Level 2

Valuations based on quoted prices in less active, dealer or broker markets. Fair values are primarily obtained from third party pricing services for identical or comparable assets or liabilities.

- Level 3

Valuations derived from other valuation methodologies, including pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, and not based on market, exchange, dealer, or broker-traded transactions. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections that are not observable in the market and significant professional judgment is needed in determining the fair value assigned to such assets or liabilities.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Our available-for-sale investments are classified within level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

The fair value of equity method and cost method investments has not been determined as it is impracticable to do so due to the fact that the investee companies are relatively small, early stage private companies for which there is no comparable valuation data available without unreasonable time and expense.

Revenue Recognition – Product revenue consists of fees from software licenses. Service revenue consists of fees for processing services; professional services for software customization, consulting, training; reimbursable expenses; and software maintenance and customer support.

Our software license arrangements generally fall into one of the following four categories:

- an initial contract with the customer to license certain software modules, to provide services to get the customer live on the software (such as training and customization) and to provide post contract support (“PCS”) for a specified period of time thereafter (typically three months),

- purchase of additional licenses for new modules or for tier upgrades for a higher volume of licensed accounts after the initial contract,
- other optional standalone contracts, usually performed after the customer is live on the software, for services such as new interfaces or custom features requested by the customer, additional training and problem resolution not covered in annual maintenance contracts, and
- contracts for certain licensed software products that involve an initial fee plus recurring monthly fees during the contract life.

We review each contract to determine if multiple elements exist. As such, only arrangements under the initial contract described above contain multiple elements. Our revenue recognition policies for each of the situations described above are discussed below.

Presently, our initial software contracts do not meet the criteria for separate accounting because the software usually requires significant modification or customization that is essential to its functionality. At present, we use the completed contract method to account for our contracts as we do not have an adequate basis on which to prepare reliable estimates of percentage-of-completion for these contracts. Moreover, there are inherent hazards with software implementations, such as changes in customer requirements or software defects, that make estimates unreliable.

Accordingly, software revenue related to the license and the specified service elements (except for PCS) in the initial contract are recognized at the completion of the contract, when (i) there are no material uncertainties regarding customer acceptance, (ii) cancellation provisions, if any, have expired and (iii) there are no significant obligations remaining. We account for the PCS element contained in the initial contract based on vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value, which are annual renewal fees for such services, and PCS is recognized ratably on a straight-line basis over the period specified in the contract. Upon renewal of the PCS contract by the customer, we recognize revenues ratably on a straight-line basis over the period specified in the PCS contract. All of our software customers purchase software maintenance and support contracts and renew such contracts annually.

Purchases of additional licenses for tier upgrades or additional modules are generally recognized as license revenue in the period in which the purchase is made for perpetual licenses or ratably over the remaining contract term for non-perpetual licenses.

Services provided under standalone contracts that are optional to the customer and are outside of the scope of the initial contract are single element services contracts. These standalone services contracts are not essential to the functionality of the software contained in the initial contract and generally do not include acceptance clauses or refund rights as may be included in the initial software contracts, as described above. Revenues from these services contracts, which are generally performed within a relatively short period of time, are recognized when the services are complete.

For contracts for licensed software which include an initial fee plus recurring monthly fees for software usage, maintenance and support, we recognize the total fees ratably on a straight line basis over the estimated life of the contract as product revenue since there is no Vendor Specific Objective Evidence (VSOE) for the maintenance and support services.

For processing services which include an initial fee plus recurring monthly fees for services, we recognize the initial fees ratably on a straight line basis over the estimated life of the contract as services revenue.

Revenue is recorded net of applicable sales tax.

Deferred Revenue – Deferred revenue consists of advance payments by software customers for annual or quarterly PCS, advance payments from customers for software licenses and professional services not yet delivered, and initial implementation payments for processing services or bundled license and support services in multi-year contracts. We do not anticipate any loss under these arrangements. Deferred revenue is classified as long-term until such time that it becomes likely that the services or products will be provided within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Cost of Revenue – For cost of revenue for software contracts, we capitalize the contract specific direct costs, which are included in other current assets and other long-term assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and recognize the costs when the associated revenue is recognized. Cost of revenue for services includes direct cost of services rendered, including reimbursed expenses, pass-through third party costs, and data center and compliance costs for processing services. We also capitalize the initial implementation fees for processing services contracts and recognize the costs over the life of the contract, when the corresponding revenue is recognized.

Software Development Expense – Research and development costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred. Contract specific software development costs are capitalized and recognized when the related contract revenue is recognized.

Warranty Costs – Following the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary, we do not provide for estimated costs associated with the industrial product warranties. The warranty related to software license contracts consists of a defined number of months (usually three) of PCS after the go-live date, which is accrued as of the go-live date and recognized over the warranty period.

Legal Expense – Legal expenses for continuing operations are recorded as a component of general and administrative expense in the period in which such expenses are incurred. In 2015, legal expenses associated with the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary were included as a component of the transaction related expenses in determining the gain on the sale of discontinued operations.

Research and Development – Research and development costs consist principally of compensation and benefits paid to certain company employees and certain other direct costs. All research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Stock Based Compensation – We record compensation cost related to unvested stock-based awards by recognizing the unamortized grant date fair value on a straight line basis over the vesting periods of each award. We have estimated forfeiture rates based on our historical experience. Stock option compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 has been recognized as a component of general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. We recorded \$19,000 and \$49,000 of stock-based compensation expense in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

In the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, a total of 12,000 and 16,000 options, respectively, were granted pursuant to the 2011 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan. The fair value of each option granted in 2015 and 2014 has been estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Year ended December 31,	2015	2014
Risk free interest rate	2.7%	2.5%
Expected life of option in years	10	10
Expected dividend yield rate	0%	0%
Expected volatility	66%	73%

Under these assumptions, the weighted average fair value of options granted in 2015 and 2014 was \$2.10 and \$1.06 per share, respectively. The fair value of the grants is being amortized over the vesting period for the options. All of the company's stock-based compensation expense relates to stock options. The total remaining unrecognized compensation cost at December 31, 2015 related to unvested options amounted to \$23,000 and is expected to be recognized over 2016 and 2017.

Income Taxes – We utilize the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. As such, deferred tax assets and liabilities are established to recognize the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of the existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for net tax operating loss carryforwards.

We follow the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board accounting guidance on accounting for uncertain tax positions. Accordingly, assets and liabilities are recognized for a tax position, based solely on its technical merits that is believed to be more likely than not to be fully sustainable upon examination. Accrued interest relating to uncertain tax positions is recorded as a component of interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded as a component of general and administrative expense.

Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Comprehensive income (loss) represents net income (loss) adjusted for the results of certain stockholders' equity changes not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. These items are accumulated over time as "accumulated other comprehensive loss" on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and consist primarily of net earnings/loss and foreign currency translation adjustments associated with foreign operations that use the local currency as their functional currency and unrealized gains and losses on marketable securities.

Reclassifications – Certain prior year numbers have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements – In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) and in August 2015 issued ASU 2015-14 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date which amended existing guidance related to revenue from contracts with customers. This amendment supersedes and replaces nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance, establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides

new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. In addition, this amendment specifies the accounting for some costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer. These amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Early application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim reporting periods within annual reporting periods beginning one year after the annual reporting period in which the entity first applies the guidance of ASU 2014-09. The amendments should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized at the date of initial application. We are currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-01, Income Statement – Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20) - Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concepts of Extraordinary Items. ASU 2015-01 eliminates from U.S. GAAP the concept of extraordinary items, which, among other things, required an entity to segregate extraordinary items considered to be unusual and infrequent from the results of ordinary operations and show the item separately in the income statement, net of tax, after income from continuing operations. ASU 2015-01 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015 and is not expected to have a material effect on our operating results or financial condition.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10). The amendments in this Update require all equity investments to be measured at fair value with changes in the fair value recognized through net income (other than those accounted for under equity method of accounting or those that result in consolidation of the investee). The amendments in this Update also require an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. In addition the amendments in this Update eliminate certain disclosure requirements. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On March 31, 2015, we and CRC Industries, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation (“CRC”), entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Stock Purchase Agreement”) whereby we sold all of the issued and outstanding stock of our wholly owned subsidiary, ChemFree Corporation (“ChemFree”), to CRC (the “ChemFree Sale”). The purchase price for the all-cash sale was \$21,600,000, subject to customary post-closing adjustments, including a working capital adjustment. The company retained all net cash of ChemFree as of the closing date. In the quarter ended March 31, 2015, the company recorded a gain on the sale of ChemFree of \$18,746,000 and has retroactively classified the ChemFree operations as discontinued operations in all periods presented. Following the closing of the sale, the company recorded a net of \$56,000 in post-closing adjustments primarily to reduce our estimated tax liability, resulting in a gain on the sale of \$18,802,000 in the year ended December 31, 2015. The company is applying operating loss and capital loss carryforwards against the gain on sale and expects to incur an alternative minimum tax liability of approximately \$120,000 on the transaction, which amount is included in liabilities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2015. At the closing, a total of \$3,300,000 of the purchase price was placed in escrow for purposes of securing our obligations to indemnify CRC and to refund a portion of the purchase price if ChemFree’s actual working capital amount on the closing date is less than the agreed upon target set forth in the Stock Purchase Agreement. In the quarter ended September 30, 2015, \$880,000 of the escrow amount, net of the final working capital adjustment of \$220,000 was released to the company. The remaining escrow balance of \$2,200,000, which will remain in escrow until September 30, 2016, is shown as Restricted Cash as of December 31, 2015.

The following condensed financial information is provided for the ChemFree discontinued operations for the periods shown:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2015	2014
Net sales	\$ 2,902	\$ 10,398
Operating income	197	2,021
Net income before income taxes	6	1,146
Income taxes	9	35
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (3)	\$ 1,111

Following are the major components of the assets and liabilities of discontinued operations presented separately on the balance sheet:

As of December 31, (in thousands)	2015	2014
Major classes of assets included as part of discontinued operations:		
Accounts receivable	\$ --	\$ 1,276
Inventories	--	1,042
Property, plant & equipment	--	488
Other assets	--	206
Total assets of discontinued operations	\$ --	\$ 3,012
Major classes of liabilities included as part of discontinued operations:		
Accounts payable	\$ --	\$ 190
Accrued payroll	--	152
Other current liabilities	120	284
Other liabilities	--	212
Total liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 120	\$ 838

3. OPTION AGREEMENT

On March 20, 2012, Intelligent Systems Corporation entered into an Option Agreement (the "Option Agreement") with Central National Bank, a national banking association ("CNB"). The Option Agreement grants to CNB the option to acquire from ISC the number of shares of stock in the company's CoreCard Software subsidiary equal to five percent (5%) of ISC's equity ownership in CoreCard. Currently, ISC owns approximately 96% on a fully diluted basis of the equity of CoreCard. The number of shares covered by the option may be increased, up to ten percent (10%), based on achievement of certain volumes of prepaid cards issued by CNB and processed by CoreCard, as defined in the Option Agreement. The option has an exercise price of one million dollars for each five percent (5%) of ISC's interest in CoreCard, expires on December 31, 2017 and can be exercised at any time before it expires. Further, at any time between September 30, 2014 and June 30, 2017, subject to certain earlier termination provisions, CNB may elect to require ISC to repurchase the option at a purchase price equal to the fair market value of the option less one million dollars. As of December 31, 2015, CNB has not requested that ISC repurchase the option. We entered into the Option Agreement in recognition of CNB's cooperation and contribution to building CoreCard's card processing business. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded an expense of \$18,000 in the marketing category and carry a long-term liability of \$18,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014 to recognize the financial impact of the Option Agreement.

4. INVESTMENTS

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, our ownership interest in NKD Enterprises, LLC was 25.5%. We account for our investment by the equity method of accounting. The carrying value of NKD Enterprises, LLC is included in long-term investments. In December 2015, we recorded an impairment charge of \$792,000 to reduce the carrying value of our investment in NKD Enterprises, LLC to management's estimate of net realizable value.

At December 31, (in thousands)	Carrying Value	
	2015	2014
NKD Enterprises, LLC	\$ 107	\$ 913

The following table presents the unaudited summarized financial information for NKD Enterprises, LLC for the respective time periods:

As of and for the year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2015	2014
Revenues	\$ 1,878	\$ 1,782
Operating loss	(58)	(2)
Net loss	(58)	(2)
As of and for the year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2015	2014
Current assets	\$ 133	\$ 260
Non-current assets	3,004	3,004
Current liabilities	260	328
Stockholders' equity	2,877	2,936

On December 23, 2015, one of our investee companies in which we held a small equity stake, Lancope Inc., was sold to Cisco, Inc. We recognized a gain of \$2,034,000 against our carrying value of \$214,000 in the fourth quarter of 2015. Cash from the sale of \$2,248,000 (which is included in "Other Current Assets" at December 31, 2015) was received in early January 2016. A portion of the sale proceeds is being held in escrow for eighteen months for claims that the buyer may assert against Lancope, Inc. Our portion of the escrow may be as much as \$390,000; however, as there is presently no way to estimate how much, if any, of the escrow will be released to us, we have not included any provision for the receipt of any escrow funds in our current financial statements.

5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND CUSTOMER CONCENTRATIONS

At both December 31, 2015 and 2014, our allowance for doubtful accounts amounted to \$0. Net charges against the allowance for doubtful accounts were \$0 in both 2015 and 2014.

The following table indicates the percentage of consolidated revenue from continuing operations and year-end accounts receivable represented by each customer that represented more than 10 percent of consolidated revenue from continuing operations or year-end accounts receivable.

	Revenue		Accounts Receivable	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Customer A	22.5%	24.7%	23.6%	37.1%
Customer B	18.7%	12.7%	6.4%	--
Customer C	2.0%	--	28.9%	--
Customer D	3.9%	6.2%	11.6%	15.4%

6. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Terms and borrowings under our primary credit facility are summarized as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2015	2014
Maximum outstanding (month-end)	\$ --	\$ --
Outstanding at year-end	--	--
Interest rate at year-end	6.0%	6.0%
Average interest rate	6.0%	6.0%

We established a working capital credit facility with a bank in October 2003 and have renewed the line periodically with the most recent renewal on June 27, 2014. The revolving line of credit bears interest at the higher of prime rate plus one and one half percent or 6.0%, is secured by all of our assets and those of our principal subsidiaries, is guaranteed by our subsidiaries, and expires June 30, 2016. We may borrow an aggregate of 80 percent of qualified accounts receivable of our consolidated subsidiaries plus 10 percent of inventory, up to a maximum of \$1,250,000. At December 31, 2015, our borrowing base calculation resulted in availability of \$518,000

under the line, of which we had drawn down zero. The terms of the loan contain typical covenants not to sell or transfer material assets, to create liens against assets, to merge with another entity, to change corporate structure or the nature of our business, to declare or pay dividends, or to redeem shares of common stock. The loan agreement also contains covenants not to change the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company or to make loans to or invest in new minority-owned companies, without first obtaining the consent of the financial institution in each case.

7. INCOME TAXES

The income tax provision from continuing operations consists of the following:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2015	2014
Current	\$ 3	\$ 18
Total	\$ 3	\$ 18

Following is a reconciliation of estimated income taxes at the statutory rate from continuing operations to estimated tax expense (benefit) as reported:

Year ended December 31,	2015	2014
Statutory rate	35%	35%
Change in valuation allowance	(35%)	(34%)
Effective rate	0%	1%

Net deferred tax assets consist of the following at December 31:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets:		
Federal, state and foreign loss carryforwards	\$ 1,850	\$ 3,862
Capital loss carryforward	--	3,570
Deferred revenue	68	71
Federal and state tax credits	653	520
Other	(79)	503
Total deferred tax asset	2,492	8,526
Less valuation allowance	(2,492)	(8,526)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ --	\$ --

Federal and state tax credits of \$653,000 included in the above table expire at various dates between 2024 and 2035.

We had a deferred tax asset of approximately \$2.5 million and \$8.5 million at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The deferred tax asset has been offset by a valuation allowance in 2015 and 2014 of \$2.5 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, because the company believes that it is more likely than not that the amount will not be realized. No deferred taxes have been provided on temporary differences related to investments in foreign subsidiaries because these investments are considered to be permanent.

As of December 31, the following net operating loss carryforwards, if unused as offsets to future taxable income, will expire during the following years:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	2015	2014
2021	\$ 689	\$ 689
2022	849	849
2030	4	4
2031	298	298
Thereafter	3,445	9,196
Total	\$ 5,285	\$ 11,036

Of the net operating losses detailed above, \$1.5 million are related to net operating losses that CoreCard incurred prior to its acquisition by the company. These net operating losses are subject to Separate Return Limitation Year rules. These net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in years 2021 through 2022.

We have recognized tax benefits from all tax positions we have taken, and there has been no adjustment to any carry forwards (net operating loss or research and development credits) in the past two years. There were no unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2015 and 2014. Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties accrued on any unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. There were no accrued interest or penalties associated with any unrecognized tax benefits, nor was any interest expense recognized during the periods presented. We have determined we have no uncertain tax positions.

We file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return for all subsidiaries in which our ownership equals or exceeds 80%, as well as individual subsidiary returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions.

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases – We have noncancellable operating leases for offices and data centers expiring at various dates through March 2018. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	
2016	\$ 351
2017	257
2018	64
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 672

The above future minimum lease payments include \$484,000 payable to a related party. See Note 11 for further discussion.

Rental expense for leased facilities related to continuing operations amounted to \$416,000 and \$468,000 in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Legal Matters – There are no pending or threatened legal proceedings. However, in the ordinary course of business, from time to time we may be involved in various pending or threatened legal actions. The litigation process is inherently uncertain and it is possible that the resolution of such matters might have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition and/or results of operations.

9. POST-RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Effective January 1, 1992, we adopted the Outside Directors' Retirement Plan which provides that each non-employee director, upon resignation from the Board of Directors after reaching the age of 65, will receive a cash payment equal to \$5,000 for each full year of service as a director of the Company (and its predecessors and successors) up to \$50,000. The plan was terminated in 2011. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we have accrued \$59,000 and \$125,000, which is included in other current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, for future payments that were earned under the plan before it was terminated.

10. DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS

We maintain a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering all U.S. employees. Our matching contributions, net of forfeitures, under the plan, which are optional and based on the level of individual participant's contributions, amounted to \$36,000 and \$40,000 in 2015 and 2014, respectively.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION

The lease on our headquarters and primary facility in Norcross, Georgia is held by ISC Properties, LLC, an entity controlled by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, J. Leland Strange. Mr. Strange holds a 100% ownership interest in ISC Properties, LLC. We paid rent of \$275,000 and \$468,000 to ISC Properties, LLC in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We have determined that ISC Properties, LLC is not a variable interest entity.

12. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

We have authorized 20,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share and 2,000,000 shares of special stock, of which none is outstanding. On April 22, 2015, we commenced a modified "Dutch" auction style tender offer to purchase for cash shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of no more than \$5 million. The tender offer expired May 19, 2015. We accepted for tender 230,729 shares of common stock at a purchase price per share of \$3.00 for an aggregate purchase price of \$692,000. Shares repurchased were cancelled, resulting in a decline in the number of outstanding shares at December 31, 2015 as compared to December 31, 2014.

13. STOCK OPTION PLANS

We instituted the 2003 Incentive Stock Plan (the "2003 Plan") in March 2003. The 2003 Plan authorized the issuance of up to 450,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to officers and key employees, with vesting of such options occurring equally over a 3-year time period. In 2013, the 2003 Plan expired with 197,500 options ungranted. In June 2015, shareholders approved the 2015 Incentive Stock Plan (the "2015 Plan") which authorizes the issuance of up to 750,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to employees and key consultant and advisors. There have been no grants under the 2015 Plan. In August 2000, we instituted a Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan (the "Directors Plan") that authorized the issuance of up to 200,000 shares of common stock to non-employee directors. Upon adoption of the Directors Plan, each non-employee director was granted an option to acquire 5,000 shares. At each Annual Meeting, each director receives a grant of 4,000 options, which vest in 50% increments on the first and second anniversary. The Directors Plan expired in 2011, with 60,000 options ungranted. The shareholders approved a new plan, the 2011 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan (the "2011 Directors Plan"), in May 2011, with essentially the same terms and conditions as the Directors Plan. Stock options under all plans are granted at an exercise price equal to fair value on the date of grant and vest over 2-3 years. As of December 31, 2015, a total of 1,243,500 options under all plans have been granted, 728,320 have been exercised, 240,680 have been cancelled, 254,500 are fully vested and exercisable and 20,000 are not vested. All options expire ten years from their respective dates of grant.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$23,000 unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options granted under the plans, which is expected to be a recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

Stock option activity during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

	2015	2014
Options outstanding at January 1	274,500	270,500
Options cancelled	(8,000)	(12,000)
Options exercised	(4,000)	--
Options granted	12,000	16,000
Options outstanding at December 31	274,500	274,500
Options available for grant at December 31	876,000	138,000
Options exercisable at December 31	254,500	250,000
Exercise price ranges per share:		
Granted	\$2.97	\$1.35
Exercised	\$2.08	--
Outstanding	\$0.69 - \$3.84	\$0.69 - \$3.84
Weighted average exercise price per share:		
Granted	\$2.97	\$1.35
Exercised	\$2.08	--
Outstanding at December 31	\$1.76	\$1.72
Exercisable at December 31	\$1.72	\$1.76

The following tables summarize information about the stock options outstanding under the company's option plans as of December 31, 2015.

Options Outstanding:

Range of Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Wgt. Avg. Contractual Life Remaining	Wgt. Avg. Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
\$0.69 - \$2.08	238,500	5.7 yrs	\$ 1.52	\$ 356,145
\$2.97 - \$3.84	36,000	4.4 yrs	\$ 3.37	\$ 54,960
\$0.69 - \$3.84	274,500	5.5 yrs	\$ 1.76	\$ 411,105

Options Exercisable:

Range of Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Wgt. Avg. Contractual Life Remaining	Wgt. Avg. Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
\$0.69 - \$2.08	230,500	5.6 yrs	\$ 1.53	\$ 337,985
\$2.97 - \$3.84	24,000	1.9 yrs	\$ 3.57	\$ 54,960
\$0.69 - \$3.84	254,500	5.3 yrs	\$ 1.72	\$ 392,945

Aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the year ended December 31, 2015 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2015. The amount of aggregate intrinsic value will change based on the fair value of the company's common stock.

14. FOREIGN REVENUES AND OPERATIONS

Foreign revenues are based on the location of the customer. Revenues from customers associated with continuing operations by geographic areas for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2015	2014
Foreign Countries:		
European Union	\$ 128	\$ 51
Other	4	15
Subtotal	132	66
United States	4,650	4,121
Total	\$ 4,782	\$ 4,187

In 2003, we established a subsidiary of CoreCard Software in Romania for software development and testing activities. In 2006, we established a subsidiary in India for additional software development and testing activities as well as support for processing operations. With the exception of a facility in India which was acquired in 2007 to house our India-based employees and which had a net book value of \$191,000 and \$199,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, substantially all long-lived assets are in the United States.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, continuing operations of foreign subsidiaries had assets of \$501,000 and \$557,000, respectively, and total liabilities of \$435,000 and \$351,000, respectively. The majority of these assets and liabilities are in India. There are no currency exchange restrictions related to our foreign subsidiaries that would affect our financial position or results of operations. Refer to Note 1 for a discussion regarding how we account for translation of non-U.S. currency amounts.

15. INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

Following the sale of our ChemFree subsidiary, management considers our remaining subsidiaries, consisting of CoreCard and its affiliate companies, to be one operating segment. Historically, we have described this industry segment as Information Technology Products and Services but as our company and the financial software and services industries have evolved, we now consider the financial transaction solutions and services ("FinTech") industry segment to be more appropriate.

16. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation (numerator) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding (denominator) during the period and excludes the dilutive effect of stock options. Diluted income (loss) per share gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during a period. In computing diluted income per share, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be reacquired under the treasury stock method for the hypothetical exercise of stock options.

The following tables represent required disclosure of the reconciliation of the income (loss) and the shares used in the basic and diluted income (loss) per share computation:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except per share data)	2015	2014
Basic		
Net income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation	\$ 18,020	\$ (76)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	8,807	8,958
Net income (loss) per share	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.01)
Diluted		
Net income (loss) attributable to Intelligent Systems Corporation	\$ 18,020	\$ (76)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	8,807	8,958
Effect of dilutive potential common shares: stock options	105	--
Total	8,912	8,958
Net income (loss) per share	\$2.02	\$ (0.01)

At December 31, 2015, there were 105,000 dilutive stock options exercisable.

17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 8, 2016, we paid a special cash dividend of \$0.35 per share to shareholders of record on January 29, 2016. The company has not in the past paid regular dividends and does not expect to do so in the foreseeable future.

We are not aware of any other significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Directors and Officers

J. Leland Strange*

Chairman of the Board, President
and Chief Executive Officer

Cherie M. Fuzzell * 1 & 2

Senior Director, Global Alliances
Apple, Inc.

Philip H. Moise* 1 & 2

Former Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Immucor, Inc.

Parker H. Petit* 1 & 2

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
MiMedx Group

Bonnie L. Herron

Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
and Corporate Secretary

* director

¹ audit committee

² compensation committee

Auditors

Nichols, Cauley & Associates, LLC
Atlanta, Georgia

Legal Counsel

Ledbetter Wanamaker Glass LLP
Atlanta, Georgia

Transfer Agent and Registrar

American Stock Transfer and Trust Company
59 Maiden Lane
Plaza Level
New York, New York 10038
(800) 937-5449
www.amstock.com

Annual Meeting

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held
May 26, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. at our principle executive offices
at 4355 Shackleford Road, Norcross, GA 30093.

Communication from the Company

SEC-filed reports and press releases are posted on the
Company's website at www.intelsys.com.

The Company will mail SEC-filed reports to shareholders
upon request to:

Intelligent Systems Corporation
4355 Shackleford Road
Norcross, Georgia 30093
(770) 381-2900

Intelligent Systems

4355 Shackleford Road
Norcross, Georgia 30093
www.intelsys.com